arXiv:1701.08115v1 [math.CO] 27 Jan 2017

COVERING AND TILING HYPERGRAPHS WITH TIGHT CYCLES

JIE HAN, ALLAN LO, AND NICOLÁS SANHUEZA-MATAMALA

Abstract. Given $3 \leq k \leq s$, we say that a k-uniform hypergraph C_s^k is a tight cycle on s vertices if there is a cyclic ordering of the vertices of C_s^k such that every k consecutive vertices under this ordering form an edge. We prove that if $k \geq 3$ and $s \geq 2k^2$, then every k-uniform hypergraph on n vertices with minimum codegree at least $(1/2 + o(1))n$ has the property that every vertex is covered by a copy of C_s^k. Our result is asymptotically best possible for infinitely many pairs of s and k, e.g. when s and k are coprime.

A perfect C_s^k-tiling is a spanning collection of vertex-disjoint copies of C_s^k. When s is divisible by k, the problem of determining the minimum codegree that guarantees a perfect C_s^k-tiling was solved by a result of Mycroft. We prove that if $k \geq 3$ and $s \geq 5k^2$ is not divisible by k and s divides n, then every k-uniform hypergraph on n vertices with minimum codegree at least $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + o(1))n$ has a perfect C_s^k-tiling. Again our result is asymptotically best possible for infinitely many pairs of s and k, e.g. when s and k are coprime with k even.

1. Introduction

A *hypergraph* $H = (V(H), E(H))$ consists of a vertex set $V(H)$ and an edge set $E(H)$, where each edge $e \in E(H)$ is a subset of $V(H)$. Given a set V and a positive integer k, $\binom{V}{k}$ denotes the set of subsets of V with size exactly k. We say that a hypergraph H is *k-uniform* if $E(H) \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{k}$, and we abbreviate '$k$-uniform hypergraphs' to *k-graphs*. Note that 2-graphs are usually known simply as *graphs*.

Given a hypergraph $H = (V(H), E(H))$ and a set $S \subseteq V(H)$, let the *neighbourhood $N_H(S)$ of S* be $\{T \subseteq V(H) \setminus S : T \cup S \in E(H)\}$ and let $\deg_H(S) = |N_H(S)|$ denote the number of edges of H containing the set S. If $w \in V(H)$, then we also write $N_H(w)$ for $N_H(\{w\})$. We will omit the subscript if H is clear from the context. We denote by $\delta_s(H)$ the *minimum s-degree of H*, that is, the minimum of $\deg_H(S)$ over all s-element sets $S \in \binom{V(H)}{s}$. Note that $\delta_0(H)$ is equal to the number of edges of H. Given a k-graph H, $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ and $\delta_1(H)$ are referred to as the *minimum codegree* and the *minimum vertex degree* of H, respectively.

A typical question in extremal graph theory is: what is the smallest δ such that every k-graph H on n vertices with $\delta_s(H) \geq \delta$ has property P? In this paper we study three different problems: the existence (or Turán) problem, the covering problem and the tiling problem.

1.1. Turán thresholds.

Let F be a k-graph. We say a k-graph H is *F-free* if H does not contain a copy of F. For all $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq i < k$, define the *ith-degree Turán number $\mathrm{ex}_i^k(n, F)$ of F* to be the maximum of $\delta_i(H)$ over all F-free k-graphs H on n vertices. We also write $\mathrm{ex}_i(n, F)$ if k is clear from the context. The quantity $\mathrm{ex}_0^k(n, F)$ is the maximum possible number of edges in an F-free k-graph, and is usually known as the *Turán number of F*.

When F is a graph, there is a long and rich story of studying $\mathrm{ex}_0(n, F)$. The first result in determining Turán numbers in graphs was due to Mantel [24], who proved that $\mathrm{ex}_0(n, K_3) = \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$. For general complete graphs K_t with $t \geq 3$, Turán [27] determined $\mathrm{ex}_0(n, K_t)$. If F is a graph with at least one edge, it was proven by Erdős, Stone and Simonovits [8, 9] that

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1010, 05598-090, São Paulo, Brazil

School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

E-mail addresses: jhan@ime.usp.br, s.a.lo@bham.ac.uk, NIS564@bham.ac.uk.

Date: January 30, 2017.

The research leading to these results was partially supported by FAPESP (Proc. 2013/03447-6, 2014/18641-5, 2015/07869-8) (J. Han) EPSRC, grant no. EP/P002420/1 (A. Lo) and the Becas Chile scholarship scheme from CONICYT (N. Sanhueza-Matamala).

$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ex}_0(n,F)/\binom{n}{2} = (\chi(F)-2)/(\chi(F)-1)$, where $\chi(F)$ is the chromatic number of F. For k-graphs with $k \geq 3$, much less is known. The case $\mathrm{ex}_0(n, K_4^3)$ is a long-standing problem of Turán [28], where K_t^k denotes the *complete k-graph on t vertices*. For a summary of the known results of Turán problems in hypergraphs, see [16].

1.2. **Tiling thresholds.** Let H and F be k-graphs. An *F-tiling* in H is a set of vertex-disjoint copies of F. An F-tiling is *perfect* if it spans the vertex set of H. Note that a perfect F-tiling is also known as *F-factor* and *perfect F-matching*. For all $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq i < k$, define the *ith-degree tiling threshold* $t_i^k(n,F)$ to be the maximum of $\delta_i(H)$ over k-graphs H on n vertices without a perfect F-tiling. We write $t_i(n,F)$ if k is clear from the context. Note that if $n \not\equiv 0 \bmod |V(F)|$ then a perfect F-tiling cannot exist and so, $t_i^k(n,F) = \binom{n-i}{k-i}$. Hence we will always assume that $n \equiv 0 \bmod |V(F)|$ whenever we discuss $t_i^k(n,F)$.

Regarding tiling thresholds, again there are more results in the graph case. A first result in this sense comes from the celebrated theorem of Dirac [6] on Hamiltonian cycles, which easily shows that $t_1(n,K_2) = n/2 - 1$. Corrádi and Hajnal [4] proved that $t_1(n,K_3) = 2n/3 - 1$, and Hajnal and Szemerédi [12] generalized this result for complete graphs of any size, showing that $t_1(n,K_t) = (1-1/t)n - 1$. For a general graph F, Kühn and Osthus [22] determined $t_1(n,F)$ up to an additive constant depending only on F. This improved previous results due to Alon and Yuster [2], Komlós, Sarközy and Szemerédi [20] and Komlós [19].

For $k \geq 3$, Kühn and Osthus [22] determined $t_{k-1}(n,K_k^k)$ asymptotically and Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [26] determined the exact value for sufficiently large n. Lo and Markström [23] determined $t_2(n,K_4^3)$ asymptotically, and independently, Keevash and Mycroft [17] determined $t_2(n,K_4^3)$ exactly for sufficiently large n.

We say that a k-graph H is *s-partite* (or that H is a *(k,s)-graph*, for short) if H has a vertex partition $V_1,\dots,V_s$ such that $|e \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for all edges $e \in E(H)$ and all i such that $1 \leq i \leq s$. We say that a (k,s)-graph is *complete* if it has a vertex partition $V_1,\dots,V_s$ and $E(H)$ consists exactly of all $e \in \binom{V(H)}{k}$ such that $|e \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. We say that a (k,s)-graph is *balanced* if all sets in the vertex partition have the same size. Given integers $a_1,\dots,a_s \geq 1$, let $K^k(a_1,\dots,a_s)$ denote the complete (k,s)-graph with vertex partition $V_1,\dots,V_s$ such that $|V_i| = a_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. For all $k \geq 2$ and $t \geq 1$, $K^k(t)$ denotes the balanced complete (k,k)-graph whose vertex partition is made up of sets of size t.

Recently, Mycroft [25] determined the asymptotic value of $t_{k-1}(n,K)$ for all complete (k,k)-graphs K. For more results on tiling thresholds for hypergraphs, see the survey of Zhao [29].

1.3. **Covering thresholds.** Given a k-graph F, a k-graph H has an *F-covering* if for all vertices $v \in V(H)$, H contains a copy of F containing v. Similarly, for all $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq i < k$, define the *covering ith-degree threshold* $c_i^k(n,F)$ of F to be the maximum of $\delta_i(H)$ over all k-graphs H on n vertices not containing an F-covering. We write $c_i(n,F)$ if k is clear from the context.

Trivially, every k-graph with a perfect F-tiling has an F-covering, and every graph with an F-covering has a copy of F. Thus, for all $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq i < k$ we have

$$\mathrm{ex}_i(n,F) \leq c_i(n,F) \leq t_i(n,F).$$

In this sense, the covering problem is an intermediate problem between the Turán and the tiling problems.

As for results on covering thresholds, for any non-empty graph F, we have $c_1(n,F) = \left(\frac{\chi(F)-2}{\chi(F)-1} + o(1)\right) n$, see [13]. In the 3-graph and minimum vertex degree case, Han, Zang and Zhao [13] obtained asymptotic results for $c_1(n,K)$ for complete $(3,3)$-graphs K. In the 3-graph and minimum codegree case, Falgas-Ravry and Zhao [10] studied $c_2(n,F)$ when F is K_4^3, K_4^3 with one edge removed, K_5^3 with one edge removed and other 3-graphs.

1.4. **Cycles in hypergraphs.** Given $1 \leq \ell < k$, we say that a k-graph is an *ℓ-cycle* if there is a cyclic ordering of its vertices such that every edge consists of k consecutive vertices under this order, and two consecutive edges (under the ordering of the vertices) intersect in exactly ℓ

vertices. Note that an ℓ-cycle on s vertices can exist only if $k-\ell$ divides s. If $\ell = 1$ we call the cycle *loose*, if $\ell = k-1$ we call the cycle *tight*. We write C_s^k for the tight cycle on s vertices, or C_s if k is clear from the context.

Note that if $2\ell < k$, $i \geq 2\ell$ and C is the ℓ-cycle on s vertices, then $c_i(n, C) \leq s\binom{n-k+i}{k-i+1} + 1 \leq sn^{k-i-1}$ (by constructing C greedily).

Suppose that $s \equiv 0 \bmod k$. The tight cycle C_s^k is a spanning subgraph of $K^k(s/k)$. Thus, we obtain an upper bound for $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ from the following result by Erdős [7].

Theorem 1.1 (Erdős [7])**.** *For all $k \geq 2$ and $s > 1$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(k, s)$ such that $\mathrm{ex}_0(n, K^k(s)) < n^{k-1/s^{k-1}}$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

We prove a sublinear upper bound for $c_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ when $s \equiv 0 \bmod k$.

Proposition 1.2. *For all $2 \leq k \leq s$ with $s \equiv 0 \bmod k$, there exists $n_0(k, s)$ and $c = c(k, s)$ such that $c_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \leq cn^{1-(k/s)^{k-1}}$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

We also determine bounds for $c_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ and $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ whenever $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2k^2$. For all $\gamma > 0$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(k, s, \gamma)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $c_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \leq (1/2 + \gamma)n$.*

Moreover, the bound above is asymptotically tight if k and s satisfy the following divisibility conditions. Let $2 \leq k < s$ and let $d = \gcd(k, s)$. We say that the pair (k, s) is *admissible* if $d = 1$ or k/d is even.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $3 \leq k < s$ be such that (k, s) is an admissible pair. Then $c_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k + 1$. Moreover, if k is even, then $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k + 1$.*

There are some previously known results for tiling problems regarding ℓ-cycles. Whenever C is a 3-uniform loose cycle, $t_2(n, C)$ was determined exactly by Czygrinow [5]. For general loose cycles C in k-graphs, $t_{k-1}(n, C)$ was determined asymptotically by Mycroft [25] and exactly by Gao, Han and Zhao [11]. Note that $C_4^3 = K_4^3$, so $t_2(n, C_4^3) = (3/4 + o(1))n$ [17, 23]. For tight cycles, whenever $s \equiv 0 \bmod k$, Mycroft [25] proved that $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \leq (1/2 + o(1))n$.

We prove a lower bound on $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ which shows that $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) = (1/2 + o(1))n$ if k divides s and s divides n. We also prove lower bounds that hold whenever (k, s) is an admissible pair.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $2 \leq k < s \leq n$ with n divisible by s. Then $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k$. Moreover, if (k, s) is an admissible pair, then*

$$t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \geq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2s}\right) n \right\rfloor - k & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \left\lfloor \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{4s(k-1)+2k}\right) n \right\rfloor - k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The following upper bound on $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ is our main technical result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $2 \leq k < s$ be such that $s \geq 5k^2$ and $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Then, for all $\gamma > 0$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(k, s, \gamma)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ with $n \equiv 0 \bmod s$,*

$$t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2s} + \gamma\right) n.$$

Note that Theorem 1.6 is asymptotically sharp when $s \geq 5k^2$, k is even and (k, s) is an admissible pair.

2. Notation and sketch of proofs

For a hypergraph H and $S \subseteq V(H)$, we denote $H[S]$ to be the subhypergraph of H induced on S, that is, $V(H[S]) = S$ and $E(H[S]) = \{e \in E(H) : e \subseteq S\}$. Let $H \setminus S = H[V(H) \setminus S]$.

For hypergraphs H and G, let $H - G$ be the subgraph of H obtained by removing all edges in $E(H) \cap E(G)$.

Given a, b, c reals with $c > 0$, by $a = b \pm c$ we mean that $b - c \leq a \leq b + c$. We write $x \ll y$ to mean that for all $y \in (0, 1]$ there exists an $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x \leq x_0$ the subsequent statement holds. Hierarchies with more constants are defined in a similar way and are to be read from the right to the left. We will always assume that the constants in our hierarchies are reals in $(0, 1]$. Moreover, if $1/x$ appears in a hierarchy, this implicitly means that x is a natural number.

For all k-graphs H and all $x \in V(H)$, define the *link* $(k-1)$*-graph* $H(x)$ *of* x *in* H to be the $(k-1)$-graph with $V(H(x)) = V(H) \setminus \{x\}$ and $E(H(x)) = N_H(x)$.

For a family $\mathcal{S}$ of hypergraphs, an $\mathcal{S}$-tiling is a set of vertex-disjoint copies of $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

For distinct vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_s$, a k-graph $P = v_1 \cdots v_s$ is a *tight path* if all k consecutive vertices form an edge. Throughout this paper, we assume that all tight paths come with their ordering of vertices, and all paths are assumed to be tight. Hence, $v_1 \cdots v_s$ and $v_s \cdots v_1$ are assumed to be different tight paths. For tight paths P_1, ..., P_ℓ, we denote by $P_1 \cdots P_\ell$, the concatenation of $P_1, \ldots, P_\ell$. For two paths P_1 and P_2, we say that P_2 extends P_1, if $P_2 = P_1 P'$ for some tight path P'. Similarly, we might define a tight cycle C by writing $C = v_1 \ldots v_s$, whenever $v_i \ldots v_s v_1 \ldots v_{i-1}$ is a tight path for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[k] = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Let S_k be the symmetric group of all permutations of the set $[k]$, with the composition of functions as the group operation. Let $\mathrm{id} \in S_k$ be the *identity function* that fixes all elements in $[k]$. Given distinct $i_1, \ldots i_r \in [k]$, the *cyclic permutation* $(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r) \in S_k$ is the permutation that maps i_j to i_{j+1} for all $1 \leq j < r$ and i_r to i_1, and fixes all the other elements; we say that such a cyclic permutation has *length* r. All permutations $\sigma \in S_k$ can be written as a composition of cyclic permutations $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_t$ such that these cyclic permutations are *disjoint*, meaning that there are no common elements between all pairs of these different cyclic permutations.

Let $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ be disjoint vertex sets and let $\sigma \in S_k$. We say that a k-uniform tight path $P = v_1 \cdots v_\ell$ has *end of type* σ *with respect to* $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ if for all $2 \leq i \leq k$, $v_{\ell-k+i} \in V_{\sigma(i)}$. Similarly, we say P has *start of type* σ *with respect to* $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ if $v_i \in V_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. If $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ are clear from the context, we simply say that P has *end of type* σ and *start of type* σ, respectively.

2.1. **Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.3.** The proof of Theorem 1.3 goes as follows. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$. Consider any vertex $v \in V(H)$. We first show that x is contained in a $K_k^k(t)$ with classes $V_1, \ldots, V_k$, see Proposition 5.2. Thus x is contained in a C_s with $s \equiv 0 \bmod k$. Hence, suppose that $s \equiv r \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$ with $1 \leq r < k$. Let P be a tight path in $K_k^k(t)$ containing x with start and end of type id. By using some G-gadget (see Section 4), we extend P into a path P' such that $|P| \equiv |P'| \bmod k$ and P' has start and end of type id and $(12 \cdots k)^{k-r}$, respectively. Then it is easy to extend P' into a C_s (by wrapping around $V_1, \ldots, V_k$).

2.2. **Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.6.** The proof of Theorem 1.3 uses the absorbing method, introduced by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [26]. We first find a small vertex set $U \subseteq V(H)$ such that $H[U \cup W]$ has a perfect C_s-tiling for all small sets W with $|U| + |W| \equiv 0 \bmod s$ (see Lemma 6.5). Thus the problem of finding a perfect C_s-tiling is reduced to finding a C_s-tiling covering almost all vertices. However, we do not find such C_s-tiling directly. Instead, we show that there exists an $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling $\mathcal{T}$ for some suitable k-graphs F_s and E_s, subject to the minimisation of some objective function $\phi(\mathcal{T})$. We do so by considering its fractional relaxation, which we called a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, K_s^*\}$-tiling (see Section 9.1), and further we use the hypergraph regularity lemma.

2.3. **Organisation of the paper.** We describe the extremal constructions in Section 3, which prove Propositions 1.4 and 1.5.

We prove Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 5 where we describe a family of gadgets that will be useful during the proofs in Section 4.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6, bounding $t_{k-1}(n, C_s)$ from above. In Section 6, we review the absorption technique for tilings, which we use in Section 7 to prove Theorem 1.6 under the assumption that we can find an almost perfect C_s-tiling (Lemma 7.1). We prove Lemma 7.1 in the next two sections: in Section 8 we review tools of hypergraph regularity and in Section 9 we introduce various auxiliary tilings that we use to finish the proof.

We conclude with some remarks and open problems in Section 10.

3. Extremal graphs

In this section, we construct extremal graphs for the $(k-1)$-th degree Turán numbers and covering and tiling thresholds for tight cycles, proving Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.5, respectively.

Let A and B be disjoint vertex sets. Define $H_0^k = H_0^k(A, B)$ to be the k-graph on $A \cup B$ such that the edges of H_0^k are exactly the k-sets e of vertices that satisfy $|e \cap A| \not\equiv k \bmod 2$. Note that $\delta_{k-1}(H_0^k(A, B)) \geq \min\{|A|, |B|\} - (k-1)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $3 \leq k \leq s$. Let A and B be disjoint vertex sets. Suppose that $H_0^k(A, B)$ contains a tight cycle C_s on s vertices with $V(C_s) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $d = \gcd(k, s)$. Then $|V(C_s) \cap A| \equiv 0 \mod s/d$ and (k, s) is not an admissible pair.*

Proof. Let $C = v_1 \dots v_s$. For all $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $\phi_i \in \{A, B\}$ such that $v_i \in \phi_i$ and let $\phi_{s+i} = \phi_i$. If two edges e and e' in $E(H_0^k(A, B))$ satisfy $|e \cap e'| = k - 1$, then $|e \cap A| = |e' \cap A|$ by construction. Thus $\phi_{i+k} = \phi_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore, $\phi_{i+d} = \phi_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence, $|V(C) \cap A| \equiv 0 \bmod s/d$.

Let $r = |\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cap A| = |\{i : 1 \leq i \leq k, \phi_i = A\}|$. Note that $r > 0$ and $r \in \{k/d, 2k/d, \dots, k\}$. Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \in H_0^k(A, B)$, it follows that $r \not\equiv k \bmod 2$, implying $d \geq 2$ and k/d is odd. ∎

Now we use Proposition 3.1 to prove Propositions 1.4 and 1.5.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let A and B be disjoint vertex sets of sizes $|A| = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $|B| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Consider the k-graph $H_0 = H_0^k(A, B)$. By Proposition 3.1, no vertex of A can be covered with a copy of C_s. It follows that $c_{k-1}(n, C_s) \geq \delta_{k-1}(H_0) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k + 1$.

Moreover, if k is even, then $H_0^k(A, B) = H_0^k(B, A)$. So no vertex of B can be covered by a copy of C_s. Hence H_0 is C_s-free. Therefore, $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k + 1$. ∎

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Let A and B be disjoint vertex sets whose size will be specified later and consider the k-graph $H_0 = H_0^k(A, B)$. Let $s' = s/d$ and $k' = k/d$. Note that $d \leq k < s$, thus $s' > 1$. Then, Proposition 3.1 implies that all copies C of C_s in H_0 satisfy $|V(C) \cap A| \equiv 0 \bmod s'$. Now choose A, B such that $|A| + |B| = n$, $||A| - |B|| \leq 2$ and $|A| \not\equiv 0 \bmod s'$. It follows that $\delta_{k-1}(H_0) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k$. Since all copies of C_s in H_0 satisfy $|V(C_s) \cap A| \equiv 0 \bmod s'$, it is impossible to cover all vertices in A with copies of C_s. This proves that $t_{k-1}(n, C_s) \geq \delta_{k-1}(H_0) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - k$, as desired.

Now suppose that (k, s) is an admissible pair. Let H be the k-graph on n vertices with a vertex partition $\{A, B, T\}$ with $|A| = \lceil (n - |T|)/2 \rceil$ and $|B| = \lfloor (n - |T|)/2 \rfloor$, where $|T|$ will be specified later. The edge set of H consists of all k-sets e such that $|e \cap A| \not\equiv k \mod 2$ or $e \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \min\{|A|, |B|\} + |T| - (k-1) \geq \lfloor (n + |T|)/2 \rfloor - k + 1$. We separate the analysis in two cases depending on the parity of k.

Case 1: k even. Since $H[A \cup B] = H_0^k(A, B) = H_0^k(B, A)$, by Proposition 3.1, $H[A \cup B]$ is C_s-free. Thus, all copies of C_s in H must intersect T in at least one vertex. Hence, all C_s-tilings have at most $|T|$ vertex-disjoint copies of C_s. Taking $|T| = n/s - 1$ assures that H does not contain a perfect C_s-tiling. This implies that $t_{k-1}(n, C_s) \geq \lfloor (1/2 + 1/(2s))\, n \rfloor - k$.

Case 2: k **odd.** Since $H[A \cup B] = H_0^k(A, B)$, by Proposition 3.1 no vertex in A can be covered by a copy of C_s. Hence, all copies of C_s in H with non-empty intersection with A must also have non-empty intersection with T. Moreover, all edges in H intersect A in at most $k-1$ vertices, so all copies of C_s contain at most $\lfloor s(k-1)/k \rfloor$ vertices in A. Thus a perfect C_s-tiling would contain at least $k|A|/(s(k-1))$ cycles intersecting A. Let $|T| = \lfloor nk/(2s(k-1)+k) \rfloor - 1 < k|A|/(s(k-1))$. Therefore H does not contain a perfect C_s-tiling. This gives $t_{k-1}(n, C_s) \geq \delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \left\lfloor \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{4s(k-1)+2k}\right) n \right\rfloor - k$. ■

4. G-GADGETS

Throughout this section, we let $\tau = (123 \cdots k) \in S_k$. Let H be a k-graph. Let $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ be a disjoint vertex partition of a complete (k,k)-graph K in H. Let P be a tight path in H with end of type $\pi \in S_k$. For $x \in V_{\pi(1)} \setminus V(P)$, Px is a tight path of H with end of type $\pi\tau$. We call such extension a *simple extension of* P. By repeatedly applying r simple extensions (which is possible as long as there are available vertices), we may obtain an extension $Px_1 \cdots x_r$ of P with end of type $\pi\tau^r$, using r extra vertices in K.

Suppose K is a complete (k,k)-graph and P is a path with end of type π. We will find a path P' that extends P such that $|V(P')| \equiv |V(P)| \bmod k$ and P' has end of type σ, for arbitrary $\sigma \in S_k$, using G-gadgets defined as below.

Let G be a graph on $[k]$. Let H be a k-graph and let K be a complete (k,k)-graph in H with vertex partition $V_1, \ldots, V_k$. For $S \subseteq V(H)$, we say that K has a *G-gadget W_G avoiding S* if $W_G = \bigcup_{ij \in E(G)} W_{ij}$ and for all $ij \in E(G)$,

(W1) $W_{ij} \subseteq V(H)$ and $|W_{ij}| = 2k-1$,
(W2) $|W_{ij} \setminus V(K)| = 1$, $W_{ij} \cap S = \emptyset$ and, for all $1 \leq i' \leq k$,

$$|W_{ij} \cap V_{i'}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i' \in \{i,j\}, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(W3) for all $\sigma \in S_k$ with $\sigma(1) \in \{i,j\}$, $H[W_{ij}]$ contains a spanning tight path with start of type $\sigma\tau$ and end of type $(ij)\sigma$, and
(W4) W_{ij} are pairwise disjoint.

For all edges $ij \in E(G)$, we write w_{ij} for the unique vertex in $W_{ij} \setminus V(K)$.

Suppose P is a tight path with end of type π and σ is a cyclic permutation. In the next lemma, we show how to extend P into a tight path of type $\sigma\pi$ using a G-gadget, where G is a path.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$. Let $\sigma = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r) \in S_k$ be a cyclic permutation. Let G be a graph on $[k]$ containing the path $Q = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r$. Let H be a k-graph containing a complete (k,k)-graph K with vertex partition $V_1, \ldots, V_k$. Suppose that P is a tight path in H with end of type $\pi \in S_k$ such that $\pi(1) = i_r$. Suppose that K has a G-gadget W_G avoiding $V(P)$ and $|V_{i_j} \setminus V(P)| \geq 2|E(G)|$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then there exists an extension P' of P with end of type $\sigma\pi$ such that*

(i) $|V(P')| = |V(P)| + 2k(r-1)$,
(ii) *for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,*

$$|V_i \cap (V(P') \setminus V(P))| = \begin{cases} 2(r-1)-1 & \text{if } i \in \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{r-1}\}, \\ 2(r-1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(iii) *K has a $(G-Q)$-gadget W_{G-Q} avoiding $V(P')$ and*
(iv) $V(P') \setminus V(P \cup K) = \{w_{i_j i_{j+1}} : 1 \leq j < r\}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on r. First suppose that $r = 2$ and so $\sigma = (i_1 i_2)$. Consider a G-gadget W_G avoiding $V(P)$. As $i_1 i_2 \in E(G)$, there exists a set $W_{i_1 i_2} \subseteq W_G$ disjoint from $V(P)$ such that $|W_{i_1 i_2}| = 2k-1$ and $H[W_{i_1 i_2}]$ contains a spanning tight path P'' with start of type $\pi\tau$ and end of type $(i_1 i_2)\pi = \sigma\pi$. Note that $|V_{i_2} \cap W_G| \leq 2|E(G)| - 1$ as $|V_{i_2} \cap W_{i_1 i_2}| = 1$. Hence $V_{i_2} \setminus (V(P) \cup W_G) \neq \emptyset$. Take an arbitrary vertex $x_{i_2} \in V_{i_2} \setminus (V(P) \cup W_G)$ and set $P' = Px_{i_2}P''$.

As $\pi(1) = i_2$, it follows that P' is a tight path with end of type $\sigma\pi$, and P' satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iv). Set $W_{G-i_1i_2} = W_G \setminus W_{i_1i_2}$. Then $W_{G-i_1i_2}$ is a $(G-i_1i_2)$-gadget for K avoiding $V(P')$, so P' satisfies property (iii), as desired.

Next, suppose $r > 2$. Define $\sigma' = (i_2i_3\cdots i_r)$ and note that $\sigma = (i_1i_2)\sigma'$. Then σ' is a cyclic permutation of length $r-1$, with $\pi(1) = i_r$ and the path $Q' = i_2\cdots i_{r-1}i_r$ is a subgraph of G. By the induction hypothesis, there exists an extension P'' of P with end of type $\sigma'\pi$ such that $|V(P'')| = |V(P)| + 2k(r-2)$ and, for all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$|V_i \cap (V(P'') \setminus V(P))| = \begin{cases} 2(r-2)-1 & \text{if } i \in \{i_2, i_3, \cdots, i_{r-1}\}, \\ 2(r-2) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, K has a $(G-Q')$-gadget $W_{G-Q'}$ avoiding $V(P'')$ and $V(P'') \setminus V(P \cup K) = \{w_{i_ji_{j+1}} : 2 \le j < r\}$.

Note that $\sigma'\pi(1) = \sigma'(i_r) = i_2$ and $i_1i_2 \in E(G-Q')$. For all $1 \le i \le r$, $|V_i \setminus V(P')| \ge 2|E(G-Q')|$. Again by the induction hypothesis, there exists an extension P' of P'' with end of type $(i_1i_2)\sigma'\pi = \sigma\pi$ such that $|V(P')| = |V(P'')| + 2k = |V(P)| + 2k(r-1)$ and, for all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$|V_i \cap (V(P') \setminus V(P''))| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = i_1, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and $V(P') \setminus (V(P'' \cup K)) = \{w_{i_1i_2}\}$, so P' satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iv). Furthermore, set $W_{G-Q} = W_G - \bigcup_{j=1}^{r-1} W_{i_ji_{j+1}}$. Then W_{G-Q} is a $(G-Q)$-gadget for K avoiding $V(P')$, so P' satisfies property (iii) as well. ■

In the next lemma, we show how to extend a path with end of type id to one with end of arbitrary type. First we need the following definitions.

Consider an arbitrary $\sigma \in S_k \setminus \{\mathrm{id}\}$. Write σ in its cyclic decomposition

$$\sigma = (i_{1,1}i_{1,2}\cdots i_{1,r_1})(i_{2,1}i_{2,2}\cdots i_{2,r_2})\cdots(i_{t,1}i_{t,2}\cdots i_{t,r_t}),$$

where σ is a product of $t = t(\sigma)$ disjoint cyclic permutations of respective lengths $r_1, \ldots, r_t$ so that $r_j \ge 2$ and $i_{j,r_j} = \min\{i_{j,r'} : 1 \le r' \le r_j\}$ for all $1 \le j \le t$; and $i_{1,r_1} < i_{2,r_2} < \cdots < i_{t,r_t}$. Define $m(\sigma) = i_{t,r_t}$. On the other hand, if $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$, then define $t(\sigma) = 0$ and $m(\sigma) = 1$. Define G_σ to be the graph on $[k]$ consisting precisely of the (vertex-disjoint) paths $Q_j = i_{j,1}i_{j,2}\cdots i_{j,r_j}$ for all $1 \le j \le t(\sigma)$. So G_{id} is an empty graph. Note that for all σ,

$$2|E(G_\sigma)| + t(\sigma) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{t(\sigma)} r_j - t(\sigma) \le 2k-1. \tag{4.1}$$

For $1 \le i \le k$ and $\sigma \in S_k \setminus \{\mathrm{id}\}$, set $X_{i,\sigma} = 1$ if $i \in \{i_{t',1}, \ldots, i_{t',r_{t'}-1}\}$ for some $1 \le t' \le t$, and $X_{i,\sigma} = 0$ otherwise. Also, for $1 \le i \le k$, set $Y_{i,\sigma} = 1$ if $i \in \{\sigma(j) : 1 \le j < m(\sigma)\}$ and $Y_{i,\sigma} = 0$ otherwise. If $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$, then define $X_{i,\sigma} = Y_{i,\sigma} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le k$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \ge 3$. Let H be a k-graph containing a complete (k,k)-graph K with vertex partition $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ and a tight path P with end of type* id. *Let $\sigma \in S_k$ and let G be a graph on $[k]$ containing G_σ. Suppose that K has a G-gadget W_G avoiding $V(P)$, and $|V_i \setminus V(P)| \ge 2|E(G)| + 2$. Then there exists an extension P' of P with end of type $\sigma\tau^{m(\sigma)-1}$ such that*

(i) $|V(P')| = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G_\sigma)| + m(\sigma) - 1$,
(ii) *for all* $1 \le i \le k$, $|V_i \cap (V(P') \setminus V(P))| = 2|E(G_\sigma)| - X_{i,\sigma} + Y_{i,\sigma}$,
(iii) *K has a $(G - G_\sigma)$-gadget avoiding $V(P')$ and*
(iv) $V(P') \setminus V(P \cup K) = \{w_{ij} : ij \in E(G_\sigma)\}$.

Proof. Let

$$\sigma = (i_{1,1}i_{1,2}\cdots i_{1,r_1})(i_{2,1}i_{2,2}\cdots i_{2,r_2})\cdots(i_{t,1}i_{t,2}\cdots i_{t,r_t})$$

as defined above. We proceed by induction on $t = t(\sigma)$. If $t = 0$, then $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ and $m(\sigma) = 1$, so the lemma holds by setting $P' = P$. Now suppose that $t \geq 1$ and the lemma is true for all $\sigma' \in S_k$ with $t(\sigma') < t$. Let

$$\sigma_1 = (i_{1,1}i_{1,2}\cdots i_{1,r_1})(i_{2,1}i_{2,2}\cdots i_{2,r_2})\cdots(i_{t-1,1}i_{t-1,2}\cdots i_{t-1,r_{t-1}})$$

and $\sigma_2 = (i_{t,1}i_{t,2}\cdots i_{t,r_t})$, so $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma$. For $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $G_i = G_{\sigma_i}$ and $m_i = m(\sigma_i)$. Note that $G_\sigma = G_1 \cup G_2$. Let $G' = G - G_1$. Since $t(\sigma_1) = t - 1$, by the induction hypothesis, there exists a path P_1 that extends P with end of type $\sigma_1\tau^{m_1-1}$ such that

(i′) $|V(P_1)| = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G_1)| + m_1 - 1$,
(ii′) for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $|V_i \cap (V(P_1) \setminus V(P))| = 2|E(G_1)| - X_{i,\sigma_1} + Y_{i,\sigma_1}$,
(iii′) K has a G'-gadget $W_{G'}$ avoiding $V(P_1)$ and
(iv′) $V(P_1) \setminus V(P \cup K) = \{w_{ij} : ij \in E(G_1)\}$.

Note that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$|V_i \setminus (V(P_1) \cup W_{G'})| \geq 2|E(G)| + 2 - (2|E(G_1)| + 1) - 2|E(G')| > 0.$$

We extend P_1 using $m_2 - m_1 > 0$ simple extensions, avoiding the set $V(P_1) \cup W_{G'}$ in each step, to obtain an extension P_2 of P_1 with end of type $\sigma_1\tau^{m_1-1}\tau^{m_2-m_1} = \sigma_1\tau^{m_2-1}$ such that

$$|V(P_2)| = |V(P_1)| + m_2 - m_1 = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G_1)| + m_2 - 1$$

and $W_{G'}$ is a G'-gadget for K that avoids $V(P_2)$. As P_1 has end of type $\sigma_1\tau^{m_1-1}$, $V(P_2) \setminus V(P_1)$ contains precisely one vertex in V_i for all $i \in \{\sigma_1\tau^{m_1-1}(j) : 1 \leq j \leq m_2 - m_1\} = \{\sigma_1(m_1), \ldots, \sigma_1(m_2 - 1)\}$. Since $\sigma_1(i) = \sigma(i)$ for all $m_1 \leq i < m_2$ and $m_2 = i_{t,r_t}$, together with (ii′) we deduce that

$$|V_i \cap (V(P_2) \setminus V(P))| = 2|E(G_1)| - X_{i,\sigma_1} + Y_{i,\sigma}. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\sigma_1\tau^{m_2-1}(1) = \sigma_1(m_2) = \sigma_1(i_{t,r_t}) = i_{t,r_t}$. Since G' contains G_2, by Lemma 4.1 there exists an extension P' of P_2 with $|V(P')| = |V(P_2)| + 2k|E(G_2)|$ and P' has end of type $\sigma_2\sigma_1\tau^{m_2-1} = \sigma\tau^{m(\sigma)-1}$, as $m_2 = m(\sigma)$. Moreover, as $G' - G_2 = G - G_\sigma$, K has a $(G - G_\sigma)$-gadget avoiding $V(P')$, implying (iii). Similarly, (iv) holds. Note that

$$|V(P')| = |V(P_2)| + 2k|E(G_2)| = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G_\sigma)| + m(\sigma) - 1,$$

implying (i). Finally, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$|V_i \cap (V(P') \setminus V(P_2))| = \begin{cases} 2|E(G_2)| - 1 & \text{if } i \in \{i_{t,1}, \ldots, i_{t,r_t-1}\}, \\ 2|E(G_2)| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So $|V_i \cap (V(P') \setminus V(P_2))| = 2|E(G_2)| - X_{i,\sigma_2}$. Note that $X_{i,\sigma} = X_{i,\sigma_1} + X_{i,\sigma_2}$ because σ_1 and σ_2 are disjoint. Thus, together with (4.2), (ii) holds. ∎

Let K be a complete (k,k)-graph with classes $V_1, \ldots, V_k$. Let P be a tight path with start of type σ and end of type π. If $\pi = \sigma$, then there exists a tight cycle C containing P with $V(C) = V(P)$. Similarly if $\pi = \sigma\tau^{-r}$, then (by using r simple extensions) there exists a tight cycle C on $|V(P)| + r$ vertices containing P. In general, in order to extend P into a tight cycle we use Lemma 4.2 to first extend P to a path P' with end of type $\sigma\tau^{-r}$ for some suitable r. The next lemma formalizes the aforementioned construction of the tight cycle C containing P and gives us precise bounds on the sizes of $V_i \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))$ in the case where $\sigma = \pi$, which will be useful during Section 9.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $k \geq 3$. Let $\sigma, \pi \in S_k$ and $0 \leq r < k$. Then there exists a graph $G := G(\sigma, \pi, r)$ on $[k]$ consisting of a vertex-disjoint union of paths such that the following holds for all $s \geq k(2k-1)$ with $s \equiv r \bmod k$: let H be a k-graph containing a complete (k,k)-graph K with vertex partition $V_1, \ldots, V_k$, and let P be a tight path with start of type σ and end of type π. Suppose that K has a G-gadget W_G avoiding $V(P)$ and $|V_i \setminus V(P)| \geq \lfloor s/k \rfloor + 1$. Then there exists a tight cycle C on $|V(P)| + s$ vertices containing P, such that*

$$V(C) \setminus (V(P \cup K)) = \{w_{ij} : ij \in E(G)\}.$$

Moreover, if $\sigma = \pi$, then for all $1 \le i, j \le k$,

$$\big||V_i \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))| - |V_j \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))|\big| \le 1.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\pi = \mathrm{id}$. Define $\sigma' = \sigma\tau^{-r} \in S_k$. Let $G = G_{\sigma'}$. Note that $|E(G)| \le k-1$, $t(\sigma') \le k/2$ and $2|E(G)| + t(\sigma') \le 2k-1$ by (4.1). Let H, K, P be as defined in the lemma. By Lemma 4.2, there exists an extension P' of P with end of type $\sigma'\tau^{m(\sigma')-1}$ such that $|V(P')| = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G)| + m(\sigma') - 1$, for all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$|V_i \cap (V(P') \setminus V(P))| = 2|E(G)| - X_{i,\sigma'} + Y_{i,\sigma'}.$$

and $V(P') \setminus (V(P \cup K)) = \{w_{ij} : ij \in E(G)\}$. We use $k - m(\sigma') + 1$ simple extensions to get an extension P'' of P' of order

$$|V(P'')| = |V(P')| + (k - m(\sigma') + 1) = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G)| + k.$$

Note that $V(P'') \setminus V(P')$ uses precisely one vertex in each of the clusters V_i for all $i \in \{\sigma'\tau^{m(\sigma')-1}(j) : 1 \le j \le k - m(\sigma') + 1\} = \{\sigma'(j) : m(\sigma') \le j \le k\} = \{j : Y_{j,\sigma'} = 0\}$. It follows that for all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$|V_i \cap (V(P'') \setminus V(P))| = 2|E(G)| + 1 - X_{i,\sigma'}.$$

Note that P'' has end of type $\sigma'\tau^{m(\sigma')-1}\tau^{k-m(\sigma')+1} = \sigma' = \sigma\tau^{-r}$. For all $1 \le i \le k$ and $0 \le r < k$, set $Z_{i,\sigma,r} = 1$ if $i \in \{\sigma(j) : k - r + 1 \le j \le k\}$, and set $Z_{i,\sigma,r} = 0$ otherwise. We use r more simple extensions to get an extension P''' of P with end of type $\sigma\tau^{-r}\tau^r = \sigma$ of order

$$|V(P''')| = |V(P'')| + r = |V(P)| + 2k|E(G)| + k + r,$$

such that for all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$|V_i \cap (V(P''') \setminus V(P))| = 2|E(G)| + 1 + Z_{i,\sigma,r} - X_{i,\sigma'}.$$

Since $|E(G)| \le k-1$ and $s \equiv r \bmod k$, it follows that $|V(P''')| \le |V(P)| + s$. Also, $|V(P''') \setminus V(P)| \equiv s \bmod k$. For all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|V_i \setminus V(P''')| &\ge |V_i \setminus V(P)| - 2|E(G)| - 1 + X_{i,\sigma'} - Z_{i,\sigma,r} \\
&\ge \lfloor s/k \rfloor - 2|E(G)| - 1 = \frac{1}{k}\left(k\lfloor s/k \rfloor - 2k|E(G)| - k\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{k}\left(s - r - 2k|E(G)| - k\right) = \frac{1}{k}\left(s - (|V(P''')| - |V(P)|)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since P''' has start of type σ and end of type σ, then we can easily extend P''' (using simple extensions) into a tight cycle C on $|V(P)| + s$ vertices. Note that $V(C) \setminus (V(P \cup K)) = \{w_{ij} : ij \in E(G)\}$, as desired.

Moreover, for all $1 \le i, j \le k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big||V_i \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))| - |V_j \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))|\big| \\
&= \big||V_i \cap (V(P''') \setminus V(P))| - |V_j \cap (V(P''') \setminus V(P))|\big| \\
&= |(Z_{i,\sigma,r} - X_{i,\sigma'}) - (Z_{j,\sigma,r} - X_{j,\sigma'})|.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $\sigma = \pi = \mathrm{id}$. We will show that $-1 \le Z_{i,\sigma,r} - X_{i,\sigma'} \le 0$ for all $1 \le i \le k$, implying that for all $1 \le i, j \le k$, $\big||V_i \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))| - |V_j \cap (V(C) \setminus V(P))|\big| \le 1$. It suffices to show that if $Z_{i,\sigma,r} = 1$, then $X_{i,\sigma'} = 1$. If $r = 0$ then it is obvious, so suppose that $1 \le r < k$. Let $1 \le i \le k$ such that $Z_{i,\sigma,r} = 1$. Since $\sigma = \pi = \mathrm{id}$, then $\sigma' = \tau^{-r}$. So if $Z_{i,\sigma,r} = 1$, then $k - r + 1 \le i \le k$. To show that $X_{i,\tau^{-r}} = 1$, we need to show that i is not the minimal element in the cycle that it belongs in the cyclic decomposition of τ^{-r}, that is, there exists $m < i$ such that i is in the orbit of m under τ^{-r}. Let $d = \gcd(r, k)$. Choose $1 \le m \le d$ such that $m \equiv i \bmod d$. The order of τ^{-r} is exactly k/d and the orbit of m has exactly k/d elements. There are exactly k/d elements i' satisfying $1 \le i' \le k$ and $i' \equiv m \bmod d$, and all elements i' in the orbit of m also satisfy $i' \equiv m \bmod d$, so it follows that i is in the orbit of m under τ^{-r}. Finally, $m \le d \le k - r < i$. This proves that $X_{i,\tau^{-r}} = 1$, as desired. ∎

Let K be a (k,k)-graph with vertex partition $V_1,\dots,V_k$. Consider a graph G on $[k]$ with $E(G)=\{a_ib_i : 1\le i\le \ell\}$. Define $F=F(K,G)$ to be the k-graph such that $V(F)=V(K)\cup\{w_1,\dots,w_\ell\}$ and $F=K\cup\bigcup_{1\le i\le \ell}(H(w_i,a_i)\cup H(w_i,b_i))$, where $H(v,j)$ is a complete k-partite k-graph with partition $\{v\},V_1,V_2,\dots,V_{j-1},V_{j+1},\dots,V_k$. Note that if $|V_i|\ge 2\ell$ for all $1\le i\le k$, then K has a G-gadget in F. We write X_G for $\{w_1,\dots,w_\ell\}$.

Consider $s\ge 2k^2$. Providing $|V_i|$ is sufficiently large for all $1\le i\le k$, by Lemma 4.3 (taking P to be any edge in K), there exists a graph G_s such that there exists a copy C of C_s such that $C\subseteq F(K,G_s)$. For $1\le i\le k$, let $a_{s,i}=|V(C)\cap V_i|$. We get the following corollary from Lemma 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $k\ge 3$, $s\ge 2k^2$ and $s\not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Then there exists a graph G_s on $[k]$ that is a disjoint union of paths, and $a_{s,1},\dots,a_{s,k},\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_{s,i}-a_{s,j}|\le 1$ for all $1\le i,j\le k$ and $\ell=|E(G_s)|\le k-1$ and if $K=K^k(a_{s,1},\dots,a_{s,k})$, then $F_s=F(K,G_s)$ contains a spanning C_s and $|V(F_s)\setminus V(K)|=\ell$.*

Note that the statement of Lemma 4.3 does not imply the corollary but its proof does.

The graph G_s, the integers $a_{s,1},\dots,a_{s,k},\ell$ and the k-graph F_s will be useful in Section 9.

5. Covering thresholds for tight cycles

In this section, we prove the upper bounds for the covering codegree threshold for tight cycles, proving Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. We first prove Proposition 5.2, which immediately implies Proposition 1.2 since $K_k^k(s)$ contains a $C_{s'}^k$-covering for all $s'\equiv 0 \bmod k$ with $s'\le sk$. We will use the following classic result of Kővári, Sós and Turán [18].

Theorem 5.1 (Kővári, Sós and Turán [18])**.** *Let $z(m,n;s,t)$ denote the maximum possible number of edges in a bipartite graph G with parts U and V for which $|U|=m$ and $|V|=n$, which does not contain a $K_{s,t}$ subgraph with s vertices in U and t vertices in V. Then*

$$z(m,n;s,t)<(s-1)^{1/t}(n-t+1)m^{1-1/t}+(t-1)m.$$

Proposition 5.2. *For all $k\ge 3$ and $s\ge 1$, let $n\ge 0$ and $c>0$ such that $1/n,1/c\ll 1/k,1/s$. Then $c_{k-1}(n,K_k^k(s))\le cn^{1-1/s^{k-1}}$.*

Proof. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H)\ge cn^{1-1/s^{k-1}}$. Fix a vertex $x\in V(H)$ and consider the link graph $H(x)$ of x. Note that

$$|E(H(x))|\ge \frac{\binom{n-1}{k-2}\delta_{k-1}(H)}{k-1}\ge c^{1/2}n^{k-1-1/s^{k-1}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $U=V(H)\setminus\{x\}$. Consider the bipartite graph B with parts U and $E(H(x))$, where $u\in U$ is joined to $e\in E(H(x))$ if and only if $\{u\}\cup e\in E(H)$. By the codegree condition of H, all $(k-1)$-sets $e\in E(H(x))$ have degree at least $\delta_{k-1}(H)-1$ in B. Hence

$$|E(B)|\ge |E(H(x))|(\delta_{k-1}(H)-1)\ge |E(H(x))|(cn^{1-1/s^{k-1}}-1). \tag{5.2}$$

We claim there is a $K_{s-1,n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}}}$ as a subgraph in B, with $s-1$ vertices in U and $n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}}$ vertices in $H(x)$. Suppose not. Then, by Theorem 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(B)| &\le z(|E(H(x))|,n-1,n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}},s-1)\le z(|E(H(x))|,n,n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}},s-1)\\
&< \left(n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n|E(H(x))|^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}+(s-1)|E(H(x))|\\
&= |E(H(x))|\left(n\left(\frac{n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}}}{|E(H(x))|}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}+s-1\right)\\
&\overset{(5.1)}{\le} |E(H(x))|\left(c^{-\frac{1}{2(s-1)}}n^{1-\frac{1}{s^{k-1}}}+s-1\right)<|E(H(x))|n^{1-\frac{1}{s^{k-1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (5.2).

Let K be a copy of $K_{s-1,n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}}}$ in B. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}\} = V(K) \cap U$ and $W = V(K) \cap H(x)$. Since $|W| = n^{k-1-1/s^{k-2}}$ and $1/n \ll 1/k, 1/s$, by Theorem 1.1, W contains a copy K' of $K_{k-1}^{k-1}(s)$. By construction, for all $y \in \{x\} \cup X$ and all $e \in E(K')$, $\{y\} \cup e \in E(H)$. Hence, $H[\{x\} \cup X \cup V(K')]$ contains a $K_k^k(s)$ covering x, as desired. ∎

Now we prove that all large complete (k,k)-graphs contained in a k-graph H with $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ large have a G-gadget, for an arbitrary graph G on $[k]$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $0 < 1/n, 1/t_0 \ll \gamma, 1/k$. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2+\gamma)n$ containing a complete (k,k)-graph K with vertex partition $V_1, \dots, V_k$. Let $S \subseteq V(H)$ be a set of vertices such that $|V(K) \cup S| \leq \gamma n/2$ and $|V_i \setminus S| \geq t_0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let G be a graph on $[k]$. Then K has a G-gadget avoiding S.*

Proof. Choose $0 < 1/t \ll \gamma, 1/k$ and let $t_0 = t + k^2$. Suppose that $ij \in E(G)$ and $|V_\ell \setminus S| \geq t+2|E(G)|$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. Let $U_\ell \subseteq V_\ell \setminus S$ with $|U_\ell| = t$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and let $R = [k] \setminus \{i,j\}$. Let $U = \bigcup_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} U_\ell$ and

$$T = \left\{ A \in \binom{U}{k-1} : |A \cap U_r| = 1 \text{ for all } r \in R \text{ and } |A \cap (U_i \cup U_j)| = 1 \right\}.$$

Then T has size $2t^{k-1}$. By the codegree condition, all members in T have $(1/2+\gamma)n - |V(K) \cup S| \geq (1/2+\gamma/2)n$ neighbours outside of $V(K) \cup S$ and by an averaging argument, there exists a vertex $w \notin V(K) \cup S$ such that $H(w)$ satisfies $|H(w) \cap T| \geq (1+\gamma)t^{k-1}$. For all $u \in U_i \cup U_j$, $N_{H(w)\cap T}(u)$ is a family of $(k-2)$-sets of $\bigcup_{r \in R} U_r$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(u_i,u_j) \in U_i \times U_j} & |N_{H(w)\cap T}(u_i) \cap N_{H(w)\cap T}(u_j)| \\ &\geq \sum_{(u_i,u_j) \in U_i \times U_j} \left(d_{H(w)\cap T}(u_i) + d_{H(w)\cap T}(u_j) - t^{k-2} \right) \\ &= t|H(w) \cap T| - t^k \geq t^k(1+\gamma) - t^k = \gamma t^k, \end{aligned}$$

and by an averaging argument, there exists a pair $(x_i^*, x_j^*) \in U_i \times U_j$ such that $|N_{H(w)\cap T}(x_i^*) \cap N_{H(w)\cap T}(x_j^*)| \geq \gamma t^{k-2}$.

By the choice of t and by Theorem 1.1, we have that $N_{H(w)\cap T}(x_i^*) \cap N_{H(w)\cap T}(x_j^*)$ contains a copy K' of $K_{k-2}^{k-2}(2)$. Define $W_{ij} = V(K') \cup \{w, x_i^*, x_j^*\}$ and note that $|W_{ij}| = 2(k-2)+3 = 2k-1$.

For all $r \in R$, let $U_r \cap V(K') = \{x_r, x_r'\}$. Consider an arbitrary $\sigma \in S_k$ with $\sigma(1) = i$ and $\sigma(j') = j$. By construction, we have that

$$x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)} \dots x_{\sigma(j'-1)} x_j^* x_{\sigma(j'+1)} x_{\sigma(j'+2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)} w x'_{\sigma(2)} x'_{\sigma(3)} \dots x'_{\sigma(j'-1)} x_i^* x'_{\sigma(j'+1)} x'_{\sigma(j'+2)} \cdots x'_{\sigma(k)}$$

is a spanning tight path in $H[W_{ij}]$, of start of type $\sigma\tau$ and end of type $(ij)\sigma$. Clearly W_{ij} is an ij-gadget avoiding S.

Set $S' = S \cup W_{ij}$ and $G' = G - ij$. Repeating this construction for all edges in $E(G-ij)$ and using that $t_0 = t + k^2$, it is possible to conclude that K has a G-gadget avoiding S. ∎

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1/n_0 \ll 1/t \ll \gamma, 1/s$. Let H be a k-graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2+\gamma)n$. Fix a vertex x and a copy K of $K_k^k(t)$ containing x, which exists by Proposition 5.2. Let $V_1, \dots, V_k$ be the vertex partition of K with $x \in V_1$. By the choice of t, $|V_i| \geq \max\{2k^2+2, \lfloor s/k \rfloor + 2\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $x_1 = x$ and select arbitrarily vertices $x_i \in V_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Now $P = x_1 \cdots x_k$ is a tight path on k vertices with start of type id and end of type id. Let G be a complete graph on $[k]$. By Lemma 5.3, K has a G-gadget avoiding $V(P)$. Thus, by Lemma 4.3, there exists a tight cycle C in $V(H)$ on s vertices containing P, and in turn, x. ∎

6. Absorption

We need the following absorbing lemma from Lo and Markström [23]. Note that this lemma was stated for general k-graphs F and in terms of (i,η)-closedness.

Lemma 6.1 ([23, Lemma 1.1])**.** *Let $s \geq k \geq 3$ and $0 < 1/n \ll \eta, 1/s$ and $0 < \alpha \ll \mu \ll \eta, 1/s$. Suppose that H is a k-graph on n vertices and for all distinct vertices $x, y \in V(H)$ there exist ηn^{s-1} sets S of size $s-1$ such that $H[S \cup \{x\}]$ and $H[S \cup \{y\}]$ contain a spanning C_s. Then there exists $U \subseteq V(H)$ of size $|U| \leq \mu n$ with $|U| \equiv 0 \bmod s$ such that there exists a perfect C_s-tiling in $H[U \cup W]$ for all $W \subseteq V(H) \setminus U$ of size $|W| \leq \alpha n$ with $|W| \equiv 0 \bmod s$.*

Thus to find an absorbing set U, it is enough to find many $(s-1)$-sets S as above for each pair $x, y \in V(H)$. First we show that we can find one such S.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $s \geq 5k^2$ with $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Let $1/n \ll \gamma, 1/s$. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2+\gamma)n$. Then for all pair of distinct vertices $x, y \in V(H)$, there exists $S \subseteq V(H) \setminus \{x, y\}$ such that $|S| = s-1$ and both $H[S \cup \{x\}]$ and $H[S \cup \{y\}]$ contain a spanning C_s.*

Proof. Let $1/n \ll 1/t \ll \gamma, 1/s$. Consider the k-graph H_{xy} with vertex set $V(H_{xy}) = (V(H) \setminus \{x, y\}) \cup \{z\}$ (for some $z \notin V(H)$) and edge set

$$E(H_{xy}) = E(H \setminus \{x, y\}) \cup \{\{z\} \cup S : S \in N_H(x) \cap N_H(y)\}.$$

Note that $|V(H_{xy})| = n - 1$ and $\delta_{k-1}(H_{xy}) \geq \gamma |V(H_{xy})|$. By Proposition 5.2, H_{xy} contains a copy K of $K_k^k(t)$ containing z. Let $V_1, \dots, V_k$ be the vertex partition of K with $z \in V_1$.

Select arbitrarily vertices $v_i \in V_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Let $H' = H_{xy} \setminus \{z, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ and $K' = K \setminus \{z, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$. Note that $\delta_{k-1}(H') \geq (1/2+\gamma/2)|V(H')|$ and $K' \subseteq H'$. By Lemma 5.3 with H' and K' playing the roles of H and K respectively, K' has a K_k-gadget in H'. Hence, K has a K_k-gadget in H_{xy} avoiding $\{z, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$.

Now we construct a copy of C_s in H_{xy} containing z. Note that $P = zv_2 \cdots v_k$ is a tight path on k vertices with start and end of type id. Since K has a K_k-gadget avoiding $V(P)$, by Lemma 4.3 H' contains a copy C of C_s containing z.

Finally, let $S = V(C) \setminus \{z\} \subseteq V(H)$. By construction, $|S| = s-1$ and both $H[S \cup \{x\}]$ and $H[S \cup \{y\}]$ contain a spanning C_s in H, as desired. ∎

We now apply the standard supersaturation trick to find many sets S.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $0 < 1/m \ll \gamma, 1/k$. Let H be a k-graph on $n \geq m$ vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2+\gamma)n$. Let $x, y \in V(H)$ be distinct. Then the number of m-sets $R \subseteq V(H) \setminus \{x, y\}$ such that $\delta_{k-1}(H[R \cup \{x, y\}]) \geq (1/2+\gamma/2)(m+2)$ is at least $\binom{n-2}{m}/2$.*

To prove Lemma 6.3, first we recall the following fact about concentration for hypergeometric random variables around their mean (see, e.g., [15, p. 29]).

Lemma 6.4. *Let $a, \gamma > 0$ with $a + \gamma < 1$. Suppose that $S \subseteq [n]$ and $|S| \geq (a+\gamma)n$. Then*

$$\left| \left\{ M \in \binom{[n]}{m} : |M \cap S| \leq am \right\} \right| \leq \binom{n}{m} e^{-\frac{\gamma^2 m}{3(a+\gamma)}} \leq \binom{n}{m} e^{-\gamma^2 m/3}.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.3. Let T be a $(k-1)$-set in $V(H)$. We call an m-set $R \subseteq V(H) \setminus \{x, y\}$ *bad for T* if $T \subseteq R \cup \{x, y\}$ and $|N_H(T) \cap (R \cup \{x, y\})| < (1/2+\gamma/3)(m+2)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_\emptyset$, $\mathcal{T}_{\{x\}}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\{y\}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\{x,y\}}$ be a partition of $\binom{V(H)}{k-1}$ where

$$\mathcal{T}_A = \{T \subseteq V(H) : |T| = k-1, T \cap \{x, y\} = A\}$$

for $A \subseteq \{x, y\}$. Let Φ_A be the number of m-sets $R \subseteq V(H) \setminus \{x, y\}$ that are bad for some $T \in \mathcal{T}_A$. Given any $T \in \binom{V(H)}{k-1}$, let Φ_T be the number of m-sets $R \subseteq V(H) \setminus \{x, y\}$ that are bad for T.

It will be enough to prove that $\Phi_A \le \frac{1}{8}\binom{n-2}{m}$ for all $A \subseteq \{x,y\}$. By the choice of m and Lemma 6.4, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_\emptyset$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_T &= \left|\left\{R' \in \binom{V(H)\setminus(T\cup\{x,y\})}{m-k+1} : |N(T)\cap(R'\cup\{x,y\})| \le (1/2+\gamma/3)(m+2)\right\}\right| \\ &\le \left|\left\{R' \in \binom{V(H)\setminus(T\cup\{x,y\})}{m-k+1} : |N(T)\cap R'| \le (1/2+\gamma/2)(m-k+1)\right\}\right| \\ &\le \binom{n-2-(k-1)}{m-(k-1)} e^{-\gamma^2(m-k+1)/12}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\emptyset &\le \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_\emptyset}\Phi_T \le \binom{n-2}{k-1}\binom{n-2-(k-1)}{m-(k-1)} e^{-\gamma^2(m-k+1)/12} \\ &= \binom{n-2}{m}\binom{m}{k-1} e^{-\gamma^2(m-k+1)/12} \le \frac{1}{8}\binom{n-2}{m},\end{aligned}$$

where the last bound follows from the choice of m. The bounds for $\Phi_{\{x\}}$, $\Phi_{\{y\}}$ and $\Phi_{\{x,y\}}$ are proved similarly. ∎

Lemma 6.5. *Let $k \ge 3$ and $s \ge 5k^2$. Let $1/n \ll \alpha \ll \mu \ll \gamma, 1/s$. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge (1/2+\gamma)n$. Then, there exists $U \subseteq V(H)$ of size $|U| \le \mu n$ with $|U| \equiv 0 \bmod s$ such that there exists a perfect C_s-tiling in $H[U\cup W]$ for all $W \subseteq V(H)\setminus U$ of size $|W| \le \alpha n$ with $|W| \equiv 0 \bmod s$.*

Proof. Let $\mu \ll \eta \ll 1/m \ll \gamma, 1/s$. Let x, y be distinct vertices in $V(H)$. By Lemma 6.3, at least $\binom{n-2}{m}/2$ of the m-sets $R \subseteq V(H)\setminus\{x,y\}$ are such that $\delta_{k-1}(H[R\cup\{x,y\}]) \ge (1/2+\gamma/2)(m+2)$. By Lemma 6.2, each one of these subgraphs contains a set $S \subseteq R$ of size $s-1$ such that $H[S\cup\{x\}]$ and $H[S\cup\{y\}]$ have spanning copies of C_s. Then the number of these sets S in H is at least

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-2}{m}}{\binom{n-2-(s-1)}{m-(s-1)}} = \frac{\binom{n-2}{s-1}}{2\binom{m}{s-1}} \ge \eta n^{s-1}.$$

Then the result follows from Lemma 6.1. ∎

7. Tiling thresholds for tight cycles

Now we prove Theorem 1.6 under the assumption that the following 'almost perfect C_s-tiling lemma' holds.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $1/n \ll \alpha, \gamma, 1/s$. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma)n$. Then H has a C_s-tiling covering at least $(1-\alpha)n$ vertices.*

Assuming Lemma 7.1 is true, we use it to prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Choose $1/n \ll \alpha \ll \eta \ll \gamma, 1/k, 1/s$. By Lemma 6.5 there exists $U \subseteq V(H)$ of size $|U| \le \mu n$ with $|U| \equiv 0 \bmod s$ such that there exists a perfect C_s-tiling in $H[U\cup W]$ for all $W \subseteq V(H)\setminus U$ of size $|W| \le \alpha n$ with $|W| \equiv 0 \bmod s$.

Define $H' = H \setminus U$. Then $\delta_{k-1}(H') \ge \delta_{k-1}(H) - |U| \ge (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma/2)|V(H')|$. By Lemma 7.1, there exists a C_s-tiling $\mathcal{T}'$ in H' covering at least $(1-\alpha)|V(H')|$ vertices. Let W be the set of uncovered vertices by $\mathcal{T}'$ in H'. Then $|W| \le \alpha n$ and $|W| \equiv 0 \bmod s$. By the absorbing property of U, there exists a perfect C_s-tiling $\mathcal{T}''$ in $H[U\cup W]$. Then $\mathcal{T}' \cup \mathcal{T}''$ is a perfect C_s-tiling in H. ∎

The rest of the paper will be devoted to proving Lemma 7.1.

8. Hypergraph regularity lemma and regular slices

To prove Lemma 7.1 we will use the hypergraph regularity lemma.

8.1. **Regular complexes.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition of V into vertex classes $V_1, \dots, V_s$. A subset $S \subseteq V$ is *$\mathcal{P}$-partite* if $|S \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. An hypergraph is *$\mathcal{P}$-partite* if all of its edges are $\mathcal{P}$-partite. Thus an hypergraph H is s-partite if H is $\mathcal{P}$-partite for some partition $\mathcal{P}$ with $|\mathcal{P}| = s$.

A hypergraph H is a *complex* if whenever $e \in E(H)$ and e' is a non-empty subset of e we have that $e' \in E(H)$. All the complexes considered in this paper have the property that all vertices are contained in an edge. For a positive integer k, a complex H is a *k-complex* if all the edges of H consist of at most k vertices. The edges of size i are called *i-edges* of H. Given a k-complex H, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ we denote by H_i the underlying i-graph of H: the vertices of H_i are those of H and the edges of H_i are the i-edges of H. Given $s \geq k$, a *(k,s)-complex* H is an s-partite k-complex.

Let H be a $\mathcal{P}$-partite hypergraph. For $X \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k}$, we write H_X for the subgraph of H_k induced by $\bigcup X$ whose vertex classes are the members of X. Note that H_X is a (k,k)-graph. In a similar manner we write $H_{X^<}$ for the k-partite hypergraph on vertex set $\bigcup X$, whose edge set is $\bigcup_{X' \subsetneq X} H_{X'}$. Note that if H is a complex, then $H_{X^<}$ is a $(k-1,k)$-complex because X is a k-set.

Given $i \geq 2$, consider an (i,i)-graph H_i and an $(i-1,i)$-graph H_{i-1} on the same vertex set, which are i-partite with respect to the same partition $\mathcal{P}$. We write $\mathcal{K}_i(H_{i-1})$ for the family of all $\mathcal{P}$-partite i-sets that form a copy of the complete $(i-1)$-graph K_i^{i-1} in H_{i-1}. We define the *density of H_i with respect to H_{i-1}* to be

$$d(H_i|H_{i-1}) = \frac{|\mathcal{K}_i(H_{i-1}) \cap E(H_i)|}{|\mathcal{K}_i(H_{i-1})|} \quad \text{if} \quad |\mathcal{K}_i(H_{i-1})| > 0,$$

and $d(H_i|H_{i-1}) = 0$ otherwise. More generally, if $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_r)$ is a collection of r subhypergraphs of H_{i-1}, we define $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathbf{Q}) := \bigcup_{j=1}^r \mathcal{K}_i(Q_j)$ and

$$d(H_i|\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{|\mathcal{K}_i(\mathbf{Q}) \cap E(H_i)|}{|\mathcal{K}_i(\mathbf{Q})|} \quad \text{if} \quad |\mathcal{K}_i(\mathbf{Q})| > 0,$$

and $d(H_i|\mathbf{Q}) = 0$ otherwise.

We say that H_i is *(d_i, ε, r)-regular with respect to H_{i-1}* if all the r-tuples $\mathbf{Q}$ with $|\mathcal{K}_i(\mathbf{Q})| > \varepsilon|\mathcal{K}_i(H_{i-1})|$ satisfy $d(H_i|\mathbf{Q}) = d_i \pm \varepsilon$. Instead of $(d_i, \varepsilon, 1)$-regularity we simply refer to *(d_i, ε)-regularity*; we also say simply that H_i is (ε, r)-regular with respect to H_{i-1} to mean that there exists some d_i for which H_i is (d_i, ε, r)-regular with respect to H_{i-1}. Given an i-graph G whose vertex set contains that of H_{i-1}, we say that G is *(d_i, ε, r)-regular with respect to H_{i-1}* if the i-partite subgraph of G induced by the vertex classes of H_{i-1} is (d_i, ε, r)-regular with respect to H_{i-1}.

Given $3 \leq k \leq s$ and a (k,s)-complex H with vertex partition $\mathcal{P}$, we say that H *is $(d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots d_2, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon, r)$-regular* if the following conditions hold:

(i) For all $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ and for all $A \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{i}$, $H_i[\bigcup A]$ is (d_i, ε)-regular with respect to $H_{i-1}[\bigcup A]$, and
(ii) for all $A \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k}$, the induced subgraph $H_k[\bigcup A]$ is (d_k, ε_k, r)-regular with respect to $H_{k-1}[\bigcup A]$.

Sometimes we denote $(d_k, \dots, d_2)$ by $\mathbf{d}$ and write $(\mathbf{d}, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon, r)$-regular to mean $(d_k, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon, r)$-regular.

We will need the following "regular restriction lemma" which states that the restriction of regular complexes to a sufficiently large set of vertices in each vertex class is still regular, with somewhat degraded regularity properties.

Lemma 8.1 (Regular restriction lemma [1, Lemma 24])**.** *Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_k$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{m} \ll \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_k, d_2, \dots, d_{k-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varepsilon_k \ll \beta, \frac{1}{k}.$$

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_k > 0$. Set $\mathbf{d} = (d_k, \dots, d_2)$. Let G be a $(d, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon, r)$-regular (k, s)-complex with vertex classes $V_1, \dots, V_s$ each of size m. Let $V_i' \subseteq V_i$ with $|V_i'| \geq \beta m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the induced subcomplex $G[V_1' \cup \dots \cup V_s']$ is $(\mathbf{d}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, r)$-regular.

8.2. **Statement of the regularity slice lemma.** In this section we state the version of the regularity lemma (Theorem 8.4) due to Allen, Böttcher, Cooley and Mycroft [1], which they call the *regular slice lemma*. A similar lemma was previously applied by Haxell, Łuczak, Peng, Rödl, Ruciński and Skokan in the case of 3-graphs [14].

This lemma says that all k-graphs G admit a regular slice $\mathcal{J}$, which is a regular multipartite $(k-1)$-complex whose vertex classes have equal size such that G is regular with respect to $\mathcal{J}$.

Let $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We say that a $(k-1)$-complex $\mathcal{J}$ is (t_0, t_1, ε)-*equitable* if it has the following two properties:

(i) There exists a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $V(J)$ into t parts of equal size, for some $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$ such that $\mathcal{J}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-partite. We refer to $\mathcal{P}$ as the *ground partition* of $\mathcal{J}$, and to the parts of $\mathcal{P}$ as the *clusters* of $\mathcal{J}$.

(ii) There exists a *density vector* $\mathbf{d} = (d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2)$ such that, for all $2 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have $d_i \geq 1/t_1$ and $1/d_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and the $(k-1)$-complex $\mathcal{J}$ is $(\mathbf{d}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 1)$-regular.

Let $X \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k}$. We write $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_X$ for the $(k-1, k)$-graph $(\mathcal{J}_{X^<})_{k-1}$. A k-graph G on $V(\mathcal{J})$ is (ε_k, r)-*regular with respect to* X if there exists some d such that G is (d, ε_k, r)-regular with respect to $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_X$. We also write $d^*_{\mathcal{J},G}(X)$ for the relative density of G with respect to $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_X$, or simply $d^*(X)$ if $\mathcal{J}$ and G are clear from the context.

Definition 8.2 (Regular slice)**.** *Given $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_k > 0$, $r, t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, a k-graph G and a $(k-1)$-complex $\mathcal{J}$ on $V(G)$, we call $\mathcal{J}$ a* $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, r)$-regular slice for G *if $\mathcal{J}$ is (t_0, t_1, ε)-equitable and G is (ε_k, r)-regular with respect to all but at most $\varepsilon_k \binom{t}{k}$ of the k-sets of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, where t is the number of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$.*

Given a regular slice $\mathcal{J}$ for a k-graph G, we keep track of the relative densities $d^*(X)$ for k-sets X of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, which is done via a weighted k-graph.

Definition 8.3. *Given a k-graph G and a (t_0, t_1, ε)-equitable $(k-1)$-complex $\mathcal{J}$ on $V(G)$, we let $R_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$ be the complete weighted k-graph whose vertices are the clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, and where each edge X is given weight $d^*(X)$. When $\mathcal{J}$ is clear from the context we write $R(G)$ instead of $R_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$.*

The regular slice lemma (Theorem 8.4) guarantees the existence of a regular slice $\mathcal{J}$ with respect to which $R(G)$ resembles G in various senses. In particular, $R(G)$ inherits the codegree condition of G in the following sense.

Let G be a k-graph on n vertices. Given a set $S \in \binom{V(G)}{k-1}$, the *relative degree* $\overline{\deg}(S; G)$ *of S with respect to G* is defined to be

$$\overline{\deg}(S; G) = \frac{\deg_G(S)}{n - k + 1}.$$

Thus, $\overline{\deg}(S; G)$ is the proportion of k-sets of vertices in G extending S which are in fact edges of G. To extend this definition to weighted k-graphs G with weight function d^*, we define

$$\overline{\deg}(S; G) = \frac{\sum_{e \in E(G): S \subseteq e} d^*(e)}{n - k + 1}.$$

Finally, for a collection $\mathcal{S}$ of $(k-1)$-sets in $V(G)$, the *mean relative degree* $\overline{\deg}(\mathcal{S}; G)$ *of $\mathcal{S}$ in* G is defined to be the mean of $\overline{\deg}(S; G)$ over all sets $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

We can now state the version of the regular slice lemma that we will use, which is a special case of [1, Lemma 6].

Theorem 8.4 (Regular slice lemma [1, Lemma 6])**.** *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 3$. For all $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$ and all functions $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \to (0, 1]$, there exist $t_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for all $n \geq n_1$ which are divisible by $t_1!$. Let G be a k-graph on n vertices.*

Then there exists a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon(t_1), \varepsilon_k, r(t_1))$-regular slice $\mathcal{J}$ for G such that, for all $(k-1)$-sets Y of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, we have $\overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) = \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y, G) \pm \varepsilon_k$.

8.3. **The d-reduced k-graph and strong density.** Once we have a regular slice $\mathcal{J}$ for a k-graph G, we would like to work within k-tuples of clusters with respect to which G is both regular and dense. To keep track of those tuples, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 8.5 (The d-reduced k-graph)**.** *Let G be a k-graph and $\mathcal{J}$ be a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, r)$-regular slice for G. Then for $d > 0$ we define the d-reduced k-graph $R_d(G)$ of G to be the k-graph whose vertices are the clusters of $\mathcal{J}$ and whose edges are all k-sets of clusters X of $\mathcal{J}$ such that G is (ε_k, r)-regular with respect to X and $d^*(X) \geq d$. Note that $R_d(G)$ depends on the choice of $\mathcal{J}$ but this will always be clear from the context.*

The next lemma states that for regular slices $\mathcal{J}$ as in Theorem 8.4, the codegree conditions are also preserved by $R_d(G)$.

Lemma 8.6 ([1, Lemma 8])**.** *Let $k, r, t_0, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_k > 0$. Let G be a k-graph and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, r)$-regular slice for G. Then for all $(k-1)$-sets Y of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\overline{\deg}(Y; R_d(G)) \geq \overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) - d - \zeta(Y),$$

where $\zeta(Y)$ is defined to be the proportion of k-sets Z of clusters with $Y \subseteq Z$ that are not (ε_k, r)-regular with respect to G.

For $0 \leq \mu, \theta \leq 1$, we say that a k-graph H on n vertices is (μ, θ)-*dense* if there exists $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{k-1}$ of size at most $\theta\binom{n}{k-1}$ such that, for all $S \in \binom{V(H)}{k-1} \setminus \mathcal{S}$, we have $\deg_H(S) \geq \mu(n-k+1)$. In particular, if H has $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \mu n$, then it is $(\mu, 0)$-dense.

By using Lemma 8.6, we show that $R_d(G)$ 'inherits' the property of being (μ, θ)-dense.

Lemma 8.7. *Let $1/n \ll 1/t_1 \leq 1/t_0 \ll 1/k$ and $\mu, \theta, d, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k < 0$. Suppose that G is a k-graph on n vertices, and that G is (μ, θ)-dense. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, r)$-regular slice for G such that for all $(k-1)$-sets Y of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, we have $\overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) = \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y, G) \pm \varepsilon_k$. Then $R_d(G)$ is $((1-\sqrt{\theta})\mu - d - \varepsilon_k - \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}, 2\sqrt{\theta} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon_k})$-dense.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the ground partition of $\mathcal{J}$ and $t = |\mathcal{P}|$. Let $m = n/t$. Clearly $|V| = m$ for all $V \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $S \subseteq \binom{V(G)}{k-1}$ such that $\deg_G(S) < \mu(n-k+1)$. Then $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \theta\binom{n}{k-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}_1$ be the set of all $Y \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k-1}$ such that $|\mathcal{J}_Y \cap \mathcal{S}| \geq \sqrt{\theta} m^{k-1}$. It follows that $\sqrt{\theta} m^{k-1} |\mathcal{Y}_1| \leq |\mathcal{S}|$, implying that $|\mathcal{Y}_1| \leq \sqrt{\theta}\binom{n}{k-1}/m^{k-1} \leq 2\sqrt{\theta}\binom{t}{k-1}$, where the last inequality holds thanks to $1/n \ll 1/t_1 \leq 1/t$.

For all $Y \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k-1}$, let $\zeta(Y)$ be the proportion of $Z \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k-1}$ with $Y \subseteq Z$ that are not (ε_k, r)-regular with respect to G. Let $\mathcal{Y}_2$ be the set of all $Y \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k-1}$ with $\zeta(Y) > \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}$. It follows that $|\mathcal{Y}_2|\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}(t-k+1)/k \leq \varepsilon_k\binom{t}{k}$. This implies that $|\mathcal{Y}_2| \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}\binom{t}{k-1}$, where the last inequality follows from $1/t \leq 1/t_0 \ll 1/k$.

Then it follows that $|\mathcal{Y}_1 \cup \mathcal{Y}_2| \leq 2(\sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_k})\binom{t}{k-1}$. We will show that all $Y \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k-1} \setminus (\mathcal{Y}_1 \cup \mathcal{Y}_2)$ will have large codegree in $R_d(G)$, thus proving the lemma.

Consider any $Y \in \binom{\mathcal{P}}{k-1} \setminus (\mathcal{Y}_1 \cup \mathcal{Y}_2)$. Since $Y \notin \mathcal{Y}_2$, $\zeta(Y) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}$. By Lemma 8.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\deg}(Y; R_d(G)) &\geq \overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) - d - \zeta(Y) \\
&\geq \overline{\deg}(Y; R(G)) - d - \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \\
&\geq \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y; G) - \varepsilon_k - d - \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show that $\overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y; G) \geq (1-\sqrt{\theta})\mu$. Recall that $\overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y; G)$ is the mean of $\overline{\deg}(S; G)$ over all $S \in \mathcal{J}_Y$. Since $Y \notin \mathcal{Y}_1$, $|\mathcal{J}_Y \setminus \mathcal{S}| \geq \sqrt{\theta} m^{k-1} = \sqrt{\theta}|\mathcal{J}_Y|$. Note that for all $S \in \mathcal{J}_Y \setminus \mathcal{S}$, $\deg_G(S) \geq \mu(n-k+1)$. Thus $\overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y; G) \geq (1-\sqrt{\theta})\mu$, as required. ∎

For $0 \leq \mu, \theta \leq 1$, a k-graph H on n vertices is *strongly* (μ, θ)-*dense* if it is (μ, θ)-dense and, for all edges $e \in E(H)$ and all $(k-1)$-sets $X \subseteq e$, $\deg_H(X) \geq \mu(n-k+1)$. We prove

that all (μ,θ)-dense k-graphs contain a strongly (μ',θ')-dense subgraph, for some degraded constants μ',θ'.

Lemma 8.8. *Let $n \geq 2k$ and $0 < \mu, \theta < 1$. Suppose that H is a k-graph on n vertices that is (μ,θ)-dense. Then there exists a sub-k-graph H' on $V(H)$ that is strongly $(\mu - 2^k\theta^{1/(2k-2)}, \theta + \theta^{1/(2k-2)})$-dense.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}_1$ be the set of all $S \in \binom{V(H)}{k-1}$ such that $\deg_H(S) < \mu(n-k+1)$. Thus, $|\mathcal{S}_1| \leq \theta\binom{n}{k-1}$. Let $\beta = \theta^{1/(k-1)}$. Now, for all $j \in \{k-1, k-2, \ldots, 1\}$ in turns we construct $\mathcal{A}_j \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{j}$ in the following way. Initially, let $\mathcal{A}_{k-1} = \mathcal{S}_1$. Given $j > 1$ and $\mathcal{A}_j$, we define $\mathcal{A}_{j-1} \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{j-1}$ to be the set of all $X \in \binom{V(H)}{j-1}$ such that there exist at least $\beta(n-j+1)$ vertices $w \in V(H)$ with $X \cup \{w\} \in \mathcal{A}_j$.

Claim. *For all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, $|\mathcal{A}_j| \leq \beta^j\binom{n}{j}$.*

Proof of the claim. We prove it by induction on $k-j$. When $j = k-1$ it is immediate. Now suppose $2 \leq j < k-1$ and that $|\mathcal{A}_j| \leq \beta^j\binom{n}{j}$. By double counting the number of tuples (X,w) where X is a $(j-1)$-set in $V(H)$ and $X \cup \{w\} \in \mathcal{A}_j$ we have $|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}|\beta(n-j+1) \leq j|\mathcal{A}_j|$. By the induction hypothesis it follows that

$$|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}| \leq \frac{j}{\beta(n-j+1)}|\mathcal{A}_j| = \beta^{j-1}\binom{n}{j-1}. \qquad \square$$

For all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, let F_j be the set of edges $e \in E(H)$ such that there exists $S \in \mathcal{A}_j$ with $S \subseteq e$, and let $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} F_j$. Define $H' = H - F$. We will show that it satisfies the desired properties.

For each j-set, there are at most $\binom{n-j}{k-j}$ k-edges containing it. Thus, for all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, the claim above implies that

$$|F_j| \leq |\mathcal{A}_j|\binom{n-j}{k-j} \leq \beta^j\binom{n}{j}\binom{n-j}{k-j} = \beta^j\binom{k}{j}\binom{n}{k}.$$

Therefore

$$|F| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}|F_j| \leq \binom{n}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}\beta^j \leq 2^k\beta\binom{n}{k}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_2$ be the set of all $S \in \binom{V(H)}{k-1}$ contained in more than $2^k\sqrt{\beta}(n-k+1)$ edges of F. It follows that $|\mathcal{S}_2| \leq \sqrt{\beta}\binom{n}{k-1}$. This implies that $|\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2| \leq (\theta+\sqrt{\beta})\binom{n}{k-1} = (\theta+\theta^{1/(2k-2)})\binom{n}{k-1}$. Now consider an arbitrary $S \in \binom{V(H)}{k-1} \setminus (\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$. As $S \notin \mathcal{S}_1$, it follows that $\deg_H(S) \geq \mu(n-k+1)$. As $S \notin \mathcal{S}_2$, it follows that

$$\deg_{H'}(S) \geq \deg_H(S) - 2^k\sqrt{\beta}(n-k+1) \geq (\mu - 2^k\theta^{1/(2k-2)})(n-k+1).$$

Therefore, H' is $(\mu - 2^k\theta^{1/(2k-2)}, \theta + \theta^{1/(2k-2)})$-dense.

Let $e \in E(H')$ and let $X \in \binom{e}{k-1}$. It is enough to prove that $X \notin \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$. As $e \notin F_{k-1}$, it follows that $X \notin \mathcal{A}_{k-1} = \mathcal{S}_1$. So it is enough to prove that $X \notin \mathcal{S}_2$. Suppose the contrary, that $X \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Then X is contained in more than $2^k\sqrt{\beta}(n-k+1)$ edges $e' \in E(F)$. Let $W = N_F(X)$. For all $w \in W$, fix a set $A_w \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}_j$ such that $A_w \subseteq X \cup \{w\}$ and let $T_w = X \cap A_w$. If $A_w \subseteq X$ then $A_w \subseteq e \in E(H')$, a contradiction. Hence $A_w \subsetneq X$ for all $w \in W$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exists $T \subsetneq X$ and $W_T \subseteq W$ such that for all $w \in W_T$, $T_w = T$ and $|W_T| \geq |W|/(2^{k-1}) \geq 2\sqrt{\beta}(n-k+1) > \sqrt{\beta}n$.

Suppose $|T| = t \geq 1$. Then for all $w \in W_T$, $T \cup \{w\} = A_w \in \mathcal{A}_{t+1}$, so there are at least $\sqrt{\beta}n \geq \beta(n-t)$ vertices $w \in V(H)$ such that $T \cup \{w\} \in \mathcal{A}_{t+1}$. Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{A}_t$ and $T \subseteq X \subseteq e$, which is a contradiction because $e \notin F_t$. Hence, we might assume that $T = \emptyset$. Then for all $w \in W_T$, $\{w\} \in \mathcal{A}_1$. And so $|\mathcal{A}_1| \geq |W_T| > \sqrt{\beta}n$, contradicting the claim. ■

8.4. **The embedding lemma.** We will need a version of "embedding lemma" which gives sufficient conditions to find a copy of a (k,s)-graph H in a regular (k,s)-complex G.

Suppose that G is a (k,s)-graph with vertex classes $V_1,\dots,V_s$, which all have size m. Suppose also that H is a (k,s)-graph with vertex classes $X_1,\dots,X_s$ of size at most m. We say that a labelled copy of H in G is *partition-respecting* if for all $1\le i\le s$, the vertices corresponding to those in X_i lie within V_i.

Given a k-graph G and a $(k-1)$-graph H on the same vertex set, we say that G *is supported on* H if for all $e\in E(G)$ and all $f\in\binom{e}{k-1}$, $f\in E(H)$.

We state the following lemma which can be easily deduced from a lemma stated by Cooley, Fountoulakis, Kühn and Osthus [3].

Lemma 8.9 (Embedding lemma [3, Theorem 2])**.** *Let $k,s,r,t,m_0\in\mathbb{N}$, and let $d_2,\dots,d_{k-1},d,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_k>0$ such that $1/d_i\in\mathbb{N}$ for all $2\le i\le k-1$, and*

$$\frac{1}{m_0}\ll\frac{1}{r},\varepsilon\ll\varepsilon_k,d_2,\dots,d_{k-1}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\varepsilon_k\ll d,\frac{1}{t},\frac{1}{s}.$$

Then the following holds for all $m\ge m_0$. Let H be a (k,s)-graph on t vertices with vertex classes $X_1,\dots,X_s$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $(d_{k-1},\dots,d_2,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,1)$-regular $(k-1,s)$-complex with vertex classes $V_1,\dots,V_s$ all of size m. Let G be a k-graph on $\bigcup_{1\le i\le s}V_i$ which is supported on $\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}$ such that for all $e\in E(H)$ intersecting the vertex classes $\{X_{i_j}:1\le j\le k\}$, the k-graph G is (d_e,ε_k,r)-regular with respect to the k-set of clusters $\{V_{i_j}:1\le j\le k\}$, for some $d_e\ge d$ depending on e. Then there exists a partition-respecting labelled copy of H in G.

Note also that [3, Theorem 2] allows embeddings of k-graphs with bounded maximum degree whose number of vertices is linear in m.

The main difference between Lemma 8.9 and Theorem 2 in [3] is that their lemma asks for the stronger condition that for all $e\in E(H)$ intersecting the vertex classes $\{X_{i_j}:1\le j\le k\}$, the graph G should be (d,ε_k,r)-regular with respect to the k-set of clusters $\{V_{i_j}:1\le j\le k\}$, such that the value d does not depend on e, and $1/d\in\mathbb{N}$; where as we allow G to be (d_e,ε_k,r)-regular for some $d_e\ge d$ depending on e and not necessarily satisfying $1/d_e\in\mathbb{N}$. By the discussion after Lemma 4.6 in [21], we can reduce to that case by working with a sub-k-complex of $\mathcal{J}\cup G$ which is $(d,d_{k-1},d_{k-2},\dots,d_2,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon,r)$-regular, whose existence is guaranteed by an application of the "slicing lemma" [3, Lemma 8].

9. Almost perfect C_s-tilings

The aim of this section is to prove Lemma 7.1, that is, finding an almost perfect C_s-tiling. Throughout this section, we fix $k\ge 3$ and $s\ge 5k^2$ with $s\not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Let $G_s,a_{s,1},\dots,a_{s,k},\ell,F_s$ be given by Corollary 4.4. Recall that

$$\ell+\sum_{i=1}^{k}a_{s,i}=s,\quad\text{and}\quad 1\le\ell\le k-1.$$

Let $M_s=\max_i a_{s,i}$ and $m_s=\min_i a_{s,i}$, so

$$m_s\le M_s\le m_s+1\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{m_s}{M_s}\ge\frac{14}{15}.\tag{9.1}$$

Note that F_s contains a spanning C_s (by Corollary 4.4). Therefore, an F_s-tiling in H implies the existence of a C_s-tiling in H of the same size.

Define $E_s=K^k(M_s)$. Given an $\{F_s,E_s\}$-tiling $\mathcal{T}$ in H, let $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}$ be the set of copies of F_s and E_s in $\mathcal{T}$, respectively. Define

$$\phi(\mathcal{T})=\frac{1}{n}\left(n-s\left(|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}|+\frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}|\right)\right).$$

Note that if $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}=\emptyset$, then $\phi(\mathcal{T})$ is the proportion of vertices in H not covered by the F_s-tiling induced by $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\phi(H)$ be the minimum of $\phi(\mathcal{T})$ over all $\{F_s,E_s\}$-tilings $\mathcal{T}$ in H. Given $n\ge k$ and $0\le\mu,\theta<1$, let $\Phi(n,\mu,\theta)$ be the maximum of $\phi(H)$ over all (μ,θ)-dense k-graphs

H on n vertices. Note that $\phi(H)$ and $\Phi(n,\mu,\theta)$ depend on k and s but they will clear from the context.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $s \geq 5k^2$ with $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Let $1/n, \theta \ll \alpha, \gamma$ Then $\Phi(n, 1/2 + 1/2s + \gamma, \theta) \leq \alpha$.*

We now show that Lemma 9.1 implies Lemma 7.1.

Proof of Lemma 7.1. Fix $\alpha, \gamma > 0$. Note that $|V(F_s)| = s$ and $|V(E_s)| = kM_s$. Select $\delta < 1$ such that $3s/5 \leq \delta k M_s$.[1] Define $\alpha_1 = \alpha(1-\delta)$.

Let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma)n$. By Lemma 9.1, $\phi(H) \leq \Phi(n, 1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta) \leq \alpha_1$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling in H with $\phi(\mathcal{T}) \leq \alpha_1$. Hence,

$$1 - \alpha_1 \leq 1 - \phi(\mathcal{T}) \leq \frac{s}{n}\left(|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}| + \frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}|\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(s|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}| + \delta k M_s |\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}|\right).$$

As $\mathcal{T}$ is a tiling, we have that $s|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}| + kM_s|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}| \leq n$. Hence, $1 - \alpha_1 \leq (1-\delta)s|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}|/n + \delta$, and so

$$s|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}| \geq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{1-\delta}\right) n = (1-\alpha)n.$$

Therefore H contains an $\mathcal{F}_s$-tiling $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ covering all but at most αn vertices, implying the existence of a C_s-tiling of the same size. ∎

9.1. **Weighted fractional tilings.** To prove Lemma 9.1, we will need the following definitions. Let K be a k-edge with vertices $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. Let $F_s^* = F(K, G_s)$ be as defined at the end of Section 4. Let $V(F_s^*) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \cup \{y_1, \ldots, y_\ell\}$, where $\ell = |E(G_s)|$. We refer to $c(F_s^*) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ as the set of *core vertices of F_s^** and $p(F_s^*) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_\ell\}$ as the set of *pendant vertices of F_s^**. Define the function $\alpha : V(F_s^*) \to \mathbb{N}$ to be such that for $u \in V(F_s^*)$,

$$\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} a_{s,i} & \text{if } u = x_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } u \in p(F_s^*). \end{cases}$$

Note that there is a natural graph homomorphism θ from F_s to F_s^* such that for all $u \in V(F_s^*)$, $|\theta^{-1}(u)| = \alpha(u)$. Also observe that the bound $s \geq 5k^2$ implies that $\alpha(u) = 1$ if and only if u is a pendant vertex.

Let $\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ be the set of F_s^* in H. Given $v \in V(H)$ and $F_s^* \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$, define

$$\alpha_{F_s^*}(v) = \begin{cases} \alpha(u) & \text{if } v \text{ corresponds to vertex } u \in V(F_s^*), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given $v \in V(H)$ and $e \in E(H)$, define

$$\alpha_e(v) = \begin{cases} M_s & \text{if } v \in e, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now define an *α-weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling of H* to be a function $\omega^* : \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H) \to [0,1]$ such that, for all vertices $v \in V(H)$,

$$\omega^*(v) = \sum_{F_s^* \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)} \omega^*(F_s^*)\alpha_{F_s^*}(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H)} \omega^*(e)\alpha_e(v) \leq 1.$$

Note that if $a_{s,1} = \cdots = a_{s,k} = 1$ (and so $\alpha_{F_s^*}(v) = \mathbf{1}\{v \in V(F_s^*)\}$ and $\alpha_e(v) = \mathbf{1}\{v \in e\}$), then ω^* is the standard fractional $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling. If α, s and k are clear from the context (which will be all the time) we will simply write *weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling*.

Define the *minimum weight of ω^** to be

$$\omega^*_{\min} = \min_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H) \\ v \in V(H) \\ \omega^*(J)\alpha_J(v) \neq 0}} \omega^*(J)\alpha_J(v).$$

[1] By the bounds $s \geq 5k^2 + 1$, $M_s \geq (s-\ell)/k$, $\ell \leq k-1$ and $k \geq 3$, we can select $\delta = 9/14$.

Analogously, define

$$\phi(\omega^*) = \frac{1}{n}\left(n - s\left(\sum_{F_s^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F_s^*) + \frac{3}{5}\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e)\right)\right).$$

Given $c>0$ and a k-graph H, let $\phi^*(H,c)$ be the minimum of $\phi(\omega^*)$ over all weighted fractional $\{F_s^*,E_s^*\}$-tilings ω^* of H with $\omega^*_{\min}\geq c$. Note that $\phi^*(H,c)$ depends on k and s, which will be clear from the context.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\{F_s,E_s\}$-tiling. We say that a vertex v is *saturated under* $\mathcal{T}$ if it is covered by a copy of F_s and v corresponds to a vertex in W_{G_s} under that copy. Let $S(\mathcal{T})$ denote the set of all saturated vertices under $\mathcal{T}$. Define $U(\mathcal{T})$ as the set of all uncovered vertices under $\mathcal{T}$.

Analogously, given a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*,E_s^*\}$-tiling ω^*, we say that a vertex v is *saturated under* ω^* if $\sum\omega^*(F_s^*)\alpha_{F_s^*}(v)=1$ where the sum runs over all copies $F_s^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ such that $\alpha_{F_s^*}(v)=1$, that is, the copies of F_s^* where v corresponds to a pendant vertex. Let $S(\omega^*)$ be the set of all saturated vertices under ω^*. Also, define $U(\omega^*)$ as the set of all vertices $v\in V(H)$ such that $\omega^*(v)=0$.

Proposition 9.2. *Let $k\geq 3$ and $s\geq 5k^2$ with $s\not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices. Let ω^* be a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*,E_s^*\}$-tiling in H. Then the following holds:*

(i) *$s\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*}\omega^*(F^*)+kM_s\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e)\leq n$. In particular, $\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*}\omega^*(F^*)\leq n/s$ and $\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e)\leq n/(kM_s)$,*

(ii) *$|S(\omega^*)|\leq \ell n/s$,*

(iii) *if $S'\subseteq S(\omega^*)$ with $|S'|>n/s$, then there exists $F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ with $\omega^*(F^*)>0$ such that $|p(F^*)\cap S'|\geq 2$.*

Proof. For (i), note that

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq \sum_{v\in V(H)}\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*)\alpha_{F^*}(v) + \sum_{v\in V(H)}\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e)\alpha_e(v)\\ &= \sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*)\sum_{v\in V(H)}\alpha_{F^*}(v) + \sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e)\sum_{v\in V(H)}\alpha_e(v)\\ &= s\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*) + kM_s\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (ii), recall that all of the vertices $v\in S(\omega^*)$ only receive weight from pendant vertices, and all copies of $F\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ have precisely ℓ pendant vertices, and therefore

$$|S(\omega^*)| = \sum_{v\in S(\omega^*)}\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*)\alpha_{F^*}(v) \leq \ell\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*) \overset{\text{(i)}}{\leq} \ell n/s.$$

Finally, for (iii), suppose the contrary, that, for all $F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ with $\omega^*(F^*)>0$, we have $\sum_{v\in S'}\alpha_{F^*}(v)=|p(F^*)\cap S'|\leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |S'| &= \sum_{v\in S'}\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*)\alpha_{F^*}(v) = \sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*)\sum_{v\in S'}\alpha_{F^*}(v)\\ &\leq \sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*) \leq n/s, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. ∎

The next proposition shows that given an $\{F_s,E_s\}$-tiling, we can always find a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*,E_s^*\}$-tiling that preserves the saturated vertices and the uncovered vertices.

Proposition 9.3. *Let $k\geq 3$ and $s\geq 5k^2$ with $s\not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Let H be a k-graph and let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\{F_s,E_s\}$-tiling in H. Then there exists a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*,E_s^*\}$-tiling ω^* such that*

(i) *$\phi(\mathcal{T})=\phi(\omega^*)$,*

(ii) *$|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}|=\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(H)}\omega^*(F^*)$,*

(iii) *$|\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}}|=\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*(e)$,*

(iv) $S(\omega^*) = S(\mathcal{T})$ *and* $U(\omega^*) = U(\mathcal{T})$,
(v) *for all* $F^* \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$, $\omega^*(F^*) \in \{0, a^{-1}\}$, *where* $a = \prod_{1\le i\le k} a_{s,i}$;
(vi) *for all* $e \in E(H)$, $\omega^*(e) \in \{0, M_s^{-k}\}$, *moreover if* $e \in E(E_s)$ *for some* $E_s \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}$, *then* $\omega^*(e) = M_s^{-k}$,
(vii) $\omega^*_{\min} \ge s^{-k}$, *and*
(viii) $\omega^*(v) \in \{0,1\}$ *for all* $v \in V(H)$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ be a copy of F_s and suppose that $V(F) = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_k \cup W$, where $V_1, \dots, V_k$ forms a complete (k,k)-graph with $|V_i| = a_{s,i}$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $|W| = \ell$. Note that $a \le M_s^k$. For all $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$, the vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cup W$ span a copy of F_s^*, where we identify $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ with the core vertices of F_s^* and W with the pendant vertices of F_s^*. Define ω^* on $\mathcal{F}_s^*$ by assigning to all such copies the weight $1/a$ and doing the same procedure with all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. All other copies of F_s^* in H receive weight 0.

Also, for all $e \in E(H)$, set

$$\omega^*(e) = \begin{cases} M_s^{-k} & \text{if } e \in E(J) \text{ for some } J \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This defines a function $\omega^* : \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H) \to [0,1]$ which is a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling of H with $\omega^*_{\min} = M_s^{-k} \ge s^{-k}$. It is straight forward to check (i)–(viii). ∎

The next lemma assures that if R is a reduced k-graph of H, then $\phi(H)$ is roughly bounded above by $\phi^*(R,c)$.

Lemma 9.4. *Let* $k \ge 3$ *and* $s \ge 5k^2$ *with* $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. *Let* $c \ge \beta > 0$ *and*

$$1/n \ll \varepsilon, 1/r \ll \varepsilon_k \ll 1/t_1 \le 1/t_0 \ll \beta, c, 1/s, 1/k$$

and

$$\varepsilon_k \ll d, 1/k, 1/s.$$

Let H *be a* k*-graph on* n *vertices and* $\mathcal{J}$ *be a* $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, r)$*-regular slice for* H, *and* $R = R_d(H)$ *be its* d*-reduced* k*-graph obtained from* $\mathcal{J}$. *Then* $\phi(H) \le \phi^*(R,c) + s\beta/c$.

Proof. Let ω^* be a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling on R such that $\phi(\omega^*) = \phi^*(R,c)$ and $\omega^*_{\min} \ge c$. Let $t = |V(R)|$ and let $m = n/t$, so that each cluster in $\mathcal{J}$ has size m. Let n_F be the number of $F_s^* \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(R)$ with $\omega^*(F_s^*) > 0$ and n_E be the number of $E \in E(R)$ with $\omega^*(E) > 0$. Note that

$$n_F + n_E \le t/c.$$

First, for all $U \in V(R)$, we subdivide the cluster $U \subseteq V(H)$ into disjoint sets $\{U^J\}_{J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(R) \cup E(R)}$ of size $|U^J| = \lfloor \omega^*(J)\alpha_J(U)m \rfloor$.

In the next claim, we show that if $\omega^*(F) > 0$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_s(R)$ then we can find a large F_s-tiling on $\bigcup_{U \in V(F)} U^F$.

Claim. *For all* $J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(R) \cup E(R)$ *with* $\omega^*(J) > 0$, $H\left[\bigcup_{U\in V(J)} U^J\right]$ *contains*
(i) *an* F_s*-tiling* $\mathcal{F}_J$ *with* $|\mathcal{F}_J| \ge m(\omega^*(J) - \beta)$ *if* $J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(R)$; *or*
(ii) *an* E_s*-tiling* $\mathcal{E}_J$ *with* $|\mathcal{E}_J| \ge m(\omega^*(J) - \beta)$ *if* $J \in E(R)$.

Proof of the claim. We will only consider the case when $J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(R)$, as the case $J \in E(R)$ is proved similarly.

Suppose $c(J) = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ and $p(J) = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_\ell\}$, so $V(J) = c(J) \cup p(J)$. We will first show that if $X_i' \subseteq X_i$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $Y_j' \subseteq Y_j$ for all $1 \le j \le \ell$ are such that $|X_i'| = |Y_j'| \ge \beta m$, then $H\left[\bigcup_{1\le i\le k} X_i' \cup \bigcup_{1\le j\le \ell} Y_j'\right]$ contains a copy F of F_s such that $|V(F) \cap X_i'| = a_{s,i}$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $|Y_j' \cap V(F)| = 1$ for all $1 \le j \le \ell$.

Indeed, take X_i', Y_j' as above and construct the subcomplex H' obtained by restricting H along with $\mathcal{J}$ to the subsets X_i', Y_j' and then deleting the edges in H not supported in k-tuples of clusters corresponding to edges in $E(J)$. Then H' is a $(k, k+\ell)$-complex. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is (t_0, t_1, ε)-equitable, there exists a density vector $\mathbf{d} = (d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2)$ such that, for all

$2 \le i \le k-1$, we have $d_i \ge 1/t_1$, $1/d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is $(d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 1)$-regular. As $J \subseteq R$, all edges e in $E(J) \cap E(R)$ induce k-tuples X_e of clusters in H with $d^*(X_e) = d_e \ge d$ and H is (d_e, ε_k, r)-regular with respect to X_e. By Lemma 8.1, the restriction of X_e to the subsets $\{X'_1, \dots, X'_k, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_\ell\}$ is $(d_e, \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, r)$-regular. Hence, by Lemma 8.9, there exists a partition-respecting copy F of F_s in H', that is, F satisfies $|V(F) \cap X'_i| = a_{s,i}$ for all $1 \le i \le s$ and $|V(F) \cap Y'_j| = 1$ for all $1 \le j \le \ell$, as desired.

Now consider the largest F_s-tiling $\mathcal{F}_J$ in $H\left[\bigcup_{1\le i\le k} X_i^J \cup \bigcup_{1\le j\le \ell} Y_j^J\right]$ such that all $F \in \mathcal{F}_J$ satisfy $|V(F) \cap X_i| = a_{s,i}$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $|V(F) \cap Y_j| = 1$ for all $1 \le j \le \ell$. By the discussion above, we may assume that $\left|X_i^J \setminus \left(\bigcup_{F\in\mathcal{F}_J} V(F)\right)\right| < \beta m$ for some $1 \le i \le k$ or $\left|Y_j^J \setminus \left(\bigcup_{F\in\mathcal{F}_J} V(F)\right)\right| < \beta m$ for some $1 \le j \le \ell$. Furthermore, a simple calculation shows that $\left|Y_j^J \setminus \left(\bigcup_{F\in\mathcal{F}_J} V(F)\right)\right| < \beta m$ for all $1 \le j \le \ell$ and $\left|X_i^J \setminus \left(\bigcup_{F\in\mathcal{F}_J} V(F)\right)\right| < a_{s,i}\beta m$ for all $1 \le i \le k$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_J$ covers at least $sm(\omega^*(J) - \beta)$ vertices and it follows that $|\mathcal{F}_J| \ge m(\omega^*(J) - \beta)$. □

Now consider the $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}$ in H, where $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{J\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(R)} \mathcal{F}_J$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{E\in E(R)} \mathcal{E}_J$ as given by the claim (and we take $\mathcal{F}_J = \mathcal{E}_J = \emptyset$ whenever $\omega^*(J) = 0$). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}| + \frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}| &\ge \sum_{\substack{F_s^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(R)\\ \omega^*(F_s^*)>0}} m(\omega^*(F_s^*) - \beta) + \frac{3}{5}\sum_{\substack{E\in E(R)\\ \omega^*(E)>0}} m(\omega^*(E) - \beta)\\
&\ge m\left(\sum_{F_s^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(R)} \omega^*(F_s^*) + \frac{3}{5}\sum_{E\in E(R)} \omega^*(E) - \beta(n_F + n_E)\right)\\
&\ge m\left(\sum_{F_s^*\in\mathcal{F}_s^*(R)} \omega^*(F_s^*) + \frac{3}{5}\sum_{E\in E(R)} \omega^*(E) - \frac{\beta t}{c}\right)\\
&= mt\left(\frac{(1-\phi(\omega^*))}{s} - \frac{\beta}{c}\right) = \frac{n}{s}\left(1 - \phi(\omega^*) - \frac{\beta s}{c}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\phi(H) \le \phi(\mathcal{T}) \le \phi(\omega^*) + s\beta/c = \phi^*(R, c) + s\beta/c$. ■

9.2. **Proof of Lemma 9.1.** We begin with some short lemmas before the proof of Lemma 9.1.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $k \ge 3$ and $s \ge 5k^2$ with $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$. Let $\mu + \gamma/3 \le 2/3$. Then $\Phi(n, \mu, \theta) \le \Phi((1+\gamma)n, \mu + \gamma/3, \theta) + s\gamma$.*

Proof. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices that is (μ, θ)-dense. Consider the k-graph H' on the vertices $V(H) \cup A$ obtained from H by adding a set of $|A| = \gamma n$ vertices and adding all of the k-edges that have non-empty intersection with A. Since

$$\frac{\mu + \gamma}{1 + \gamma} \ge \mu + \gamma/3$$

as $\mu + \gamma/3 \le 2/3$, H' is $(\mu + \gamma/3, \theta)$-dense.

Then H' has an $\{F_s, E_S\}$-tiling $\mathcal{T}'$ satisfying $\phi(\mathcal{T}') = \phi(H')$. Consider the $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling $\mathcal{T}$ in H obtained from $\mathcal{T}'$ by removing all copies of F_s or E_s intersecting with A. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \phi(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{s}{n}\left(|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}| + \frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}|\right) &\ge \frac{s}{n}\left(|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}'}| + \frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}'}|\right) - s\gamma\\
&\ge \frac{s}{(1+\gamma)n}\left(|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}'}| + \frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}'}|\right) - s\gamma = 1 - \phi(\mathcal{T}') - s\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\phi(H) \le \phi(\mathcal{T}) \le \phi(\mathcal{T}') + s\gamma \le \Phi((1+\gamma)n, \mu + \gamma/3, \theta) + s\gamma$. ■

The next lemma shows that given an $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling of a strongly (μ, θ)-dense k-graph H, we can always find a better weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling in terms of ϕ.

Lemma 9.6. *For all $k \geq 3$ and $s \geq 5k^2$ with $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$, there exists $c = c(k,s)$ such that the following is true. For all $\gamma > 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(k,s,\gamma,\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu = \nu(k,s,\gamma) > 0$ and $\theta = \theta(\alpha,k)$ such that following holds for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Let H be a k-graph on n vertices that is strongly $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta)$-dense and $\phi(H) \geq \alpha$. Then $\phi^*(H,c) \leq (1-\nu)\phi(H)$.

We defer the proof of Lemma 9.6 to the next subsection and now we use it to prove Lemma 9.1.

Proof of Lemma 9.1. Consider a fixed $\gamma > 0$. Suppose the result is false, that is, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta^* > 0$ there exists $n' > n$ satisfying $\Phi(n', 1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta^*) > \alpha$. Let α_0 be the supremum of all such α and let $c = c(k,s)$, $n_0 = n_0(k,s,\gamma/2,\alpha_0/2)$, $\nu = \nu(k,s,\gamma/2)$ and $\theta = \theta(\alpha_0/2,k)$ be as given by Lemma 9.6. Without loss of generality we may assume $c \leq s^{-k}$. Let $0 < \eta \ll \nu, \gamma, \alpha_0, 1/s$. By the definition of α_0, there exists n_1 such that for all $n \geq n_1$,

$$\Phi(n, 1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta) \leq \alpha_0 + \eta/2. \tag{9.2}$$

Let $\beta, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon, d, \theta^*, \theta'$ and $t_0, t_1, r, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1/n_2 \ll \varepsilon, 1/r \ll \varepsilon_k, 1/t_1 \ll 1/t_0 \ll \beta \ll \gamma' \ll \eta, c, 1/s, 1/k, 1/n_1;\\ \varepsilon_k \ll d \ll \gamma';\\ \varepsilon_k \ll \theta' \ll \theta^* \ll \gamma', \theta \end{aligned}$$

and $n_2 \equiv 0 \bmod t_1!$.

Let H be a $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta')$-dense k-graph on $n \geq n_2 + t_1! - 1$ vertices with

$$\phi(H) > \alpha_0 - \eta, \tag{9.3}$$

such H exists by the definition of α_0. By removing at most $t_1! - 1$ vertices we get a graph H' on at least n_2 vertices such that $|V(H')|$ is divisible by $t_1!$ and H' is $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma - \gamma', 2\theta')$-dense.

By Theorem 8.4, there exists a $(t_0, t_1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_k, r)$-regular slice $\mathcal{J}$ for H' such that for all $(k-1)$-sets Y of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, we have $\overline{\deg}(Y; R(H')) = \overline{\deg}(\mathcal{J}_Y; H') \pm \varepsilon_k$. Let $R = R_d(H')$ be the d-reduced k-graph obtained from H' and $\mathcal{J}$. Since $\theta', d, \varepsilon_k \ll \gamma'$ and $\varepsilon_k \ll \theta'$, R is $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma - 2\gamma', 3\sqrt{\theta'})$-dense by Lemma 8.7. By Lemma 8.8, there exists a subgraph $R' \subseteq R$ that is strongly $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma - 3\gamma', \theta^*)$-dense as $\theta' \ll \gamma', 1/k, \theta^*$. Note that $|V(R')| = |\mathcal{J}| \geq t_0 \geq n_1$, so Lemma 9.5 (with $9\gamma'$ playing the role of γ) and (9.2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(R') &\leq \Phi(|V(R')|, 1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma - 3\gamma', \theta^*)\\ &\leq \Phi((1+9\gamma')|V(R')|, 1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta^*) + 9\gamma' s\\ &\leq \alpha_0 + \eta/2 + 9\gamma' s \leq \alpha_0 + \eta. \end{aligned}$$

We further claim that $\phi^*(R', c) \leq \alpha_0 - 2\eta$. Note that $c \leq s^{-k}$ and $\alpha_0 \geq 4\eta$. Therefore, if $\phi(R') < \alpha_0/2$, then the claim holds by Proposition 9.3. Thus we may assume that $\phi(R') \geq \alpha_0/2$. Note that $\gamma - 3\gamma' \geq \gamma/2$. By Lemma 9.6 (with $\alpha_0/2$ playing the role of α), we have

$$\phi^*(R', c) \leq (1-\nu)\phi(R') \leq (1-\nu)(\alpha_0 + \eta) \leq \alpha_0 - 2\eta,$$

where the last inequality holds as $\eta \ll \nu, \alpha_0$.

Finally, recall that $\beta \ll \eta, c$. Lemma 9.4 implies that

$$\phi(H) \leq \phi^*(R, c) + s\beta/c \leq \phi^*(R', c) + s\beta/c \leq \alpha_0 - \eta,$$

contradicting (9.3). ∎

9.3. **Proof of Lemma 9.6.** Here, we give a rough outline of the proof of Lemma 9.6. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling of H satisfying $\phi(\mathcal{T}) = \phi(H)$. By Proposition 9.3, we obtain a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling ω_0^* with $\phi(\omega_0^*) = \phi(\mathcal{T})$ and $(\omega_0^*)_{\min} \geq c$. Our aim is to sequentially define weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tilings $\omega_1^*, \omega_2^*, \ldots, \omega_t^*$ such that $\phi(\omega_j^*) - \phi(\omega_{j-1}^*) \geq \nu_1/n$ and $t \geq \nu_2 \alpha n$. Moreover, ω_{j+1}^* is obtained from ω_j^* by changing the weights of $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ and $E(H)$ on a small number of vertices such that no vertex has its weight changed more than once.

Recall that $U(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of uncovered vertices. If $|U(\mathcal{T})|$ is large then we construct ω_{j+1}^* from ω_j^* via assigning weights to edges that contain at least $k-1$ vertices in $U(\mathcal{T})$. If $|U(\mathcal{T})|$ is small then (since $\phi(\mathcal{T}) \geq \alpha$) we must have edges e with $w^*(e) > 0$. We obtain ω_{j+1}^* from ω_j^* by assigning weight to some copy of F_s^* such that $c(F_s^*) = e$. More care is needed to ensure that ω_{j+1}^* is indeed a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling. Ideally we would like $p(F_s^*) \cap S(\mathcal{T}) = \emptyset$, that is, all pendant points of F_s^* are not saturated (if possible).

The following lemma is needed for the case when $U(\mathcal{T})$ is small. It will help us to choose F_s^* with suitable $p(F_s^*)$.

Lemma 9.7. *Let $s \geq 2k^2$ and $\ell \leq k-1$. Let H be a k-graph on n vertices. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq V(H)$ and let $N_i = N_H(X \setminus \{x_i\})$. Suppose that $|N_i| \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma)n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let G_X be a graph on $\{N_1, \ldots, N_k\}$ such that $N_i N_j \in E(G_X)$ if and only if $|N_i \cap N_j| \leq (\ell/s + \gamma)n$. Then G_X is bipartite.*

Proof. We will show that G_X does not have any cycle of odd length. It suffices to show that $N_{i_1} N_{i_{2j+1}} \notin E(G_X)$ for all paths $N_{i_1} \ldots, N_{i_{2j+1}}$ in G_X on an odd number of vertices.

First, we claim that $|N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| \geq |N_{i_1}| - j(2\ell-1)n/s$. We prove it by induction on j. If $j = 0$, then it is obvious. Let $j \geq 1$ and consider a path $N_{i_1} N_{i_2} \cdots N_{i_{2j+1}}$ in G_X. As $N_{i_{2j}} N_{i_{2j+1}} \in E(G_X)$, we have that $|N_{i_{2j}} \cup N_{i_{2j+1}}| = |N_{i_{2j}}| + |N_{i_{2j+1}}| - |N_{i_{2j}} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| \geq (1 + \gamma - (\ell-1)/s)n$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{i_{2j-1}} \cap (N_{i_{2j}} \cup N_{i_{2j+1}})| &\geq |N_{i_{2j-1}}| - (n - |N_{i_{2j}} \cup N_{i_{2j+1}}|) \\ &\geq |N_{i_{2j-1}}| + \left(\gamma - \frac{\ell-1}{s}\right) n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{i_{2j-1}} \cap (N_{i_{2j}} \cup N_{i_{2j+1}})| &\leq |N_{i_{2j-1}} \cap N_{i_{2j}}| + |N_{i_{2j-1}} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell}{s} + \gamma\right) n + |N_{i_{2j-1}} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}|. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $|N_{i_{2j-1}} \setminus N_{i_{2j+1}}| \leq (2\ell-1)n/s$ and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| &\geq |N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j-1}} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| \\ &\geq |N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j-1}}| - |N_{i_{2j-1}} \setminus N_{i_{2j+1}}| \\ &\geq |N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j-1}}| - \frac{(2\ell-1)n}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, it holds that $|N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j-1}}| \geq |N_{i_1}| - (j-1)(2\ell-1)n/s$. Substituting this, it follows that

$$|N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| \geq |N_{i_1}| - \frac{j(2\ell-1)n}{s},$$

as desired. Since $2j \leq k-1$, $\ell \leq k-1$ and $s > 2k^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_{2j+1}}| &\geq |N_{i_1}| - \frac{j(2\ell-1)n}{s} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2s} + \gamma\right) n - \frac{(k-1)^2 n}{s} > \left(\frac{\ell}{s} + \gamma\right) n, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $N_{i_1} N_{i_{2j+1}} \notin E(G_X)$. ∎

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 9.6.

Proof of Lemma 9.6. We may assume that $\gamma \ll \alpha, 1/k$. Let $c = s^{-2k}$,

$$\nu_1 = \frac{1}{25ks^k}, \quad \nu_2 = \frac{\gamma}{40k^3s^k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \frac{\nu_1\nu_2}{2}.$$

Choose $\theta \ll \alpha, 1/k$ and $1/n_0 \ll \alpha, \gamma, 1/k, 1/s$. Let H be a strongly $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta)$-dense k-graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\phi(H) \geq \alpha$.

Note that since $\ell \leq k$ and $m_s \geq (s-\ell)/k - 1$ and $s \geq 5k^2$, it follows that

$$\frac{\ell}{m_s} \leq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{9.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\{F_s, E_s\}$-tiling on H with $\phi(\mathcal{T}) = \phi(H)$. Write $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ for $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{T}$, respectively. Apply Proposition 9.3 and obtain a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling w_0^* satisfying all the properties of the proposition.

Given a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling ω^*, define

$$A(\omega^*) = \{v \in V(H) : \forall J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H), \omega^*(J)\alpha_J(v) = \omega_0^*(J)\alpha_J(v)\}.$$

So $A(\omega^*)$ is the set of vertices such that ω^* is 'identical to w_0^*'. Note that by Proposition 9.3(viii), for all $v \in A(w^*)$,

$$\omega^*(v) = \omega_0^*(v) \in \{0, 1\}. \tag{9.5}$$

Clearly we have $A(\omega_0^*) = V(H)$. The sets $A(w_j^*)$ will help us to track the weights that stay unchanged after being assigned in w_0^*, which in turn will be useful to make sure the minimum weight of w_j^* does not decrease too much. Let $t = \lfloor \nu_2\phi(H)n \rfloor$.

Claim 9.8. *There is a sequence of weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tilings $\omega_1^*, \dots, \omega_t^*$ such that for all $0 \leq j \leq t$,*

(i) *$A(\omega_j^*) \subseteq A(\omega_{j-1}^*)$ and $|A(\omega_j^*)| \geq (1 - \gamma/6)n$;*
(ii) *$(\omega_j^*)_{\min} \geq c$ and*
(iii) *$\phi(\omega_j^*) \leq \phi(H) - \nu_1 j/n$,*

where we define $\omega_{-1}^* = \omega_0^*$.

Note that Lemma 9.6 follows immediately from Claim 9.8 as $\phi(\omega_t^*) \leq \phi(H) - \nu_1 t/n \leq (1-\nu)\phi(H)$.

Proof of Claim 9.8. Suppose that, for some $0 \leq j < t$, we have already defined $\omega_0^*, \omega_1^*, \dots, \omega_j^*$ satisfying (i)–(iii). We now define ω_{j+1}^* as follows.

We write U_j, S_j and A_j for $U(\omega_j^*), S(\omega_j^*)$ and $A(\omega_j^*)$, respectively. For all $J \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H)$, if ω_{j+1}^* is defined, then let

$$\partial(J) = \omega_{j+1}^*(J) - \omega_j^*(J).$$

Now the proof splits on two cases depending on the size of $|U(\mathcal{T})|$.

Case 1: $|U(\mathcal{T})| \geq 3\alpha n/4$. By (i) and Proposition 9.3(iv), $|A_j \cap U_j| \geq |U(\mathcal{T})| - 5k^2 j \geq |U(\mathcal{T})| - 5k^2\nu_2 n \geq \alpha n/2$. Note that

$$\binom{|U_j \cap A_j|}{k-1} \geq \binom{\alpha n/2}{k-1} \geq \frac{\alpha^{k-1}}{2^k}\binom{n}{k-1} \geq \theta\binom{n}{k-1} + k^2\binom{n}{k-2}$$

as $\theta \ll \alpha$ and $1/n \ll \alpha, 1/k$. Since H is strongly $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta)$-dense, there exist k disjoint $(k-1)$-sets $W_1, \dots, W_k$ of $U_j \cap A_j$ such that $\deg(W_i) \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma)(n-k+1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Define $N_i = N(W_i) \cap A_j$. Then

$$|N_i| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2s} + \gamma\right)(n-k+1) - (n - |A_j|) \overset{\text{(i)}}{\geq} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2s} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)n. \tag{9.6}$$

Suppose that for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists $x \in N_i \cap U_j$. Then $e = \{x\} \cup W_i \in E(H)$, so we can define $\omega_{j+1}^*(e) = 1$ and $\omega_{j+1}^*(J) = \omega_j^*(J)$ for all $J \in (\mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H)) \setminus \{e\}$. In this case,

$|A_{j+1}| = |A_j| - k$, $(\omega^*_{j+1})_{\min} = (\omega^*_j)_{\min} \geq c$ and $\phi(\omega^*_{j+1}) = \phi(\omega^*_j) - 3s/(5n) \leq \phi(\omega^*_j) - \nu_1/n \leq \phi(H) - \nu_1(j+1)/n$ so we are done. Thus we may assume that

$$\bigcup_{1\leq i\leq k} N_i \subseteq A_j \setminus U_j. \tag{9.7}$$

By (9.5), for all $x \in \bigcup_{1\leq i\leq k} N_i$, $\omega^*_j(x) = 1$.

For all $F^* \in \mathcal{F}^*_s(H)$, define

$$d_{F^*} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |N_i \cap c(F^*)|.$$

If there exists $F^* \in \mathcal{F}^*_s(H)$ with $\omega^*_j(F^*) > 0$ such that $d_{F^*} \geq k+1$, then there exist $1 \leq i < i' \leq k$ and distinct $x, x' \in c(F^*)$ such that both $e_1 = W_i \cup \{x\}$ and $e_2 = W_{i'} \cup \{x'\}$ are edges in H. Note that since $x \in A_j$, Proposition 9.3(v) implies that $\omega^*_j(F^*) = \omega^*_0(F^*) = a^{-1}$. Also, $\alpha_{F^*}(x), \alpha_{F^*}(x') \geq m_s$. Define ω^*_{j+1} to be such that

$$\partial(J) = \omega^*_{j+1}(J) - \omega^*_j(J) = \begin{cases} -1/a & \text{if } J = F^*, \\ m_s/(aM_s) & \text{if } J \in \{e_1, e_2\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ω^*_{j+1} is a weighted fractional $\{F^*_s, E^*_s\}$-tiling with $|A_{j+1}| = |A_j| - (3k+\ell-2)$ and $(\omega^*_{j+1})_{\min} = \min\{(\omega^*_j)_{\min}, m_s/(aM_s)\} \geq c$. Moreover,

$$\phi(\omega^*_j) - \phi(\omega^*_{j+1}) = \frac{s}{n}\left(\partial(F^*) + \frac{3}{5}(\partial(e_1) + \partial(e_2))\right) = \frac{s}{na}\left(\frac{6m_s}{5M_s} - 1\right) \overset{(9.1)}{\geq} \frac{\nu_1}{n},$$

so we are done. Hence, we might assume that $d_{F^*} \leq k$ for all $F^* \in \mathcal{F}^*_s(H)$ with $\omega^*_j(F^*) > 0$. Recall that $\alpha_{F^*}(v) \leq M_s$ if $v \in c(F^*)$ and $\alpha_{F^*}(v) = 1$ if $v \in p(F^*)$. Thus, for all $F^* \in \mathcal{F}^*_s(H)$ with $w^*_j(F^*) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x\in N_i} \alpha_{F^*}(x) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} (M_s|N_i \cap c(F^*)| + |N_i \cap p(F^*)|) \\ &= M_s d_{F^*} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |N_i \cap p(F^*)| \leq k(M_s + \ell) \leq s + k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}^*_s} \sum_{x\in N_i} \omega^*_0(F^*)\alpha_{F^*}(x) &= \sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}^*_s} \omega^*_0(F^*) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x\in N_i} \alpha_{F^*}(x) \\ &\leq (s+k^2) \sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}^*_s(H)} w^*_0(F^*). \end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

Similarly, for all $e \in E(H)$, define

$$d_e = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |N_i \cap e|.$$

If there exists $e \in E(H)$ with $\omega^*_j(e) > 0$ such that $d_e \geq k+1$, then there exist distinct $1 \leq i < i' \leq k$ and distinct $x, x' \in e$ such that both $e_1 = W_i \cup \{x\}$ and $e_2 = W_{i'} \cup \{x'\}$ are edges in H. Since $x \in A_j$, Proposition 9.3(vi) implies that $\omega^*_j(e) = \omega^*_0(e) = M_s^{-k}$. Define ω^*_{j+1} to be such that

$$\partial(J) = \begin{cases} -M_s^{-k} & \text{if } J = e, \\ M_s^{-k} & \text{if } J \in \{e_1, e_2\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ω^*_{j+1} is a weighted fractional $\{F^*_s, E^*_s\}$-tiling with $|A_{j+1}| = |A_j| - (3k-2)$ and $(\omega^*_{j+1})_{\min} = (\omega^*_j)_{\min}$. Note that

$$\phi(\omega^*_j) - \phi(\omega^*_{j+1}) = \frac{3s}{5n}\left(\partial(e_1) + \partial(e_2) + \partial(e)\right) = \frac{3s}{5M_s^k n} \geq \frac{\nu_1}{n}.$$

So we can suppose that $d_e \leq k$ for all $e \in E(H)$ with $\omega^*(e) > 0$. For all such $e \in E(H)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{x\in N_i}\alpha_e(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_s|e \cap N_i| = M_s d_e \leq kM_s.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{x\in N_i}\sum_{e\in E(H)}\omega^*_0(e)\alpha_e(x) \leq kM_s \sum_{e\in E(H)} w^*_0(e).$$

Therefore, by (9.7), (9.5), (9.8), Proposition 9.2(i) and $s \geq 5k^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}|N_i| &= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{x\in N_i} 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{x\in N_i}\omega^*_0(x)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{x\in N_i}\sum_{J\in\mathcal{F}^*_s(H)\cup E(H)}\omega^*_0(J)\alpha_J(x)\\
&\leq (s+k^2)\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}^*(H)} w^*_0(F^*) + kM_s\sum_{e\in E(H)} w^*_0(e)\\
&\leq n + k^2\sum_{F^*\in\mathcal{F}^*(H)} w^*_0(F^*) \leq n + \frac{k^2}{s}n \leq \frac{6n}{5},
\end{aligned}$$

contradicting (9.6).

Case 2: $|U(\mathcal{T})| > 3\alpha n/4$**.** Note that $n = s|\mathcal{F}| + kM_s|\mathcal{E}| + |U(\mathcal{T})|$. Hence,

$$\alpha \leq \phi(\mathcal{T}) \leq 1 - \frac{s}{n}|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(kM_s|\mathcal{E}| + |U(\mathcal{T})|\right) \leq \frac{kM_s|\mathcal{E}|}{n} + \frac{3\alpha}{4}.$$

Using the fact $\gamma \ll \alpha, 1/k$, we have

$$|\mathcal{E}| \geq \frac{\alpha n}{4kM_s} \geq \gamma n/6 + 1 \geq n - |A_j| + 1.$$

Hence there exists $E_s \in \mathcal{E}$ with $V(E_s) \subseteq A_j$. By Proposition 9.3(vi), there exists an edge $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \in E(H)$ with

$$w^*_j(X) = w^*_0(X) = M_s^{-k}.$$

Let $N_i = N_H(X \setminus \{x_i\})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $X \in E(H)$ and H is strongly $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta)$-dense, it follows that

$$|N_i| \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma)(n - k + 1) \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + 2\gamma/3)n$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Define the graph G_X on $\{N_1, \ldots, N_k\}$ where $N_uN_v \in E(G_X)$ if and only if $|N_u \cap N_v| \leq (\ell/s + 2\gamma/3)n$. By Lemma 9.7, G_X is bipartite.

Let G_s be as defined in Corollary 4.4. Since G_s is a disjoint union of paths and G_X is bipartite, there is a bijection $\psi : V(G_s) \to V(G_X)$ such that $\psi(u)\psi(v) \in E(G_X)$ for at most one edge $uv \in E(G_s)$. Fix one such ψ. Without loss of generality (relabelling the vertices of G_X if necessary), assume that $\psi(u) = N_u$ for all $u \in V(G_s) = [k]$. Then $|N_u \cap N_v| > (\ell/s + 2\gamma/3)n$ for all but at most one $uv \in E(G_s)$.

By Proposition 9.2(ii), $|S_j| \leq \ell n/s$. Thus $|(N_u \cap N_v) \setminus S_j| \geq 2\gamma n/3$ for all but at most one $uv \in E(G_s)$. We separate the analysis in cases.

Case 2.1: $|(N_u \cap N_v) \setminus S_j| \geq 2\gamma n/3$ **for all** $uv \in E(G_s)$.

By Claim 9.8(i), $|A_j| \geq (1-\gamma/6)n$. Then $|(N_u \cap N_v \cap A_j) \setminus S_j| \geq \gamma n/2$ for all $uv \in E(G_s)$. We can choose distinct $y_{uv} \in (N_u \cap N_v \cap A_j) \setminus S_j$ for all $uv \in E(G_s)$ satisfying the following conditions: if $\omega_j^*(y_{uv}) > 0$, there exists $J_{uv} \in \mathcal{F}^*(H) \cup E(H)$ with $\alpha_{J_{uv}}(y) \geq m_s$ and $\omega_j^*(J_{uv}) > 0$ such that the J_{uv} are pairwise vertex-disjoint. Set $Y = \{y_{uv} : uv \in E(G_s)\}$. Let $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ be the set of $y \in Y$ such that $\omega_j^*(y) = 0$ and let $Y_2 = Y \setminus Y_1$. For $y^* = y_{uv} \in Y_2$, we write J_{y^*} for J_{uv}.

By construction, $H[X \cup Y]$ contains a copy F^* of F_s^* with $c(F^*) = X$, $p(F^*) = Y$ and $V(F^*) \subseteq A_j$. Note that $\omega_j^*(F^*) = \omega_0^*(F^*) = 0$.

Define ω_{j+1}^* to be such that

$$\partial(J) = \begin{cases} s^{-k} & \text{if } J = F^*, \\ -s^{-k} & \text{if } J = X, \\ -s^{-k}/\alpha_{J_y}(y) & \text{if } J = J_y \text{ for some } y \in Y_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ω_{j+1}^* is a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling. Note that $|A_{j+1}| \geq |A_j| - (2k^2+k)$. Since for all $y \in Y_2$, $\omega_j^*(J_y) = \omega_0^*(J_y) \geq (\omega_0^*)_{\min} \geq s^{-k}$, we have $(\omega_{j+1}^*)_{\min} \geq c$.

Note that $|Y_2| \leq \ell$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\omega_j^*) - \phi(\omega_{j+1}^*) &\geq \frac{s}{n}\left(\partial(F^*) + \frac{3}{5}\partial(X) + \sum_{y \in Y_2}\partial(J_y)\right) = \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(1 - \frac{3}{5} - \sum_{y \in Y_2}\frac{1}{\alpha_{J_y}(y)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(\frac{2}{5} - \frac{|Y_2|}{m_s}\right) \overset{(9.4)}{\geq} \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(\frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \geq \frac{\nu_1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

so we are done.

Case 2.2: There exists one $\hat{u}\hat{v} \in E(G_s)$ such that $|(N_{\hat{u}} \cap N_{\hat{v}}) \setminus S_j| < 2\gamma n/3$.

Note that $|(N_u \cap N_v) \setminus S_j| \geq 2\gamma n/3$ for all $uv \in E(G_s) \setminus \{\hat{u}\hat{v}\}$. As before, we have that $|(N_u \cap N_v \cap A_j) \setminus S_j| \geq \gamma n/2$ for all $uv \in E(G_s) \setminus \{\hat{u}\hat{v}\}$. Choose distinct $y_{uv} \in (N_u \cap N_v \cap A_j) \setminus S_j$ for all $uv \in E(G_s) \setminus \{\hat{u}\hat{v}\}$, and set $Y = \{y_{uv} : uv \in E(G_s) \setminus \{\hat{u}\hat{v}\}\}$. As before, define $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq Y$ and J_y for all $y \in Y_2$. Since $|N_{\hat{u}}|, |N_{\hat{v}}| \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + 2\gamma/3)n$ and $|(N_{\hat{u}} \cap N_{\hat{v}}) \setminus S_j| < 2\gamma n/3$ and $|A_j| \geq (1-\gamma/6)n$, we have $|N_{\hat{u}} \cap N_{\hat{v}} \cap S_j \cap A_j| \geq (1/s + \gamma/2)n$.

By Proposition 9.2(iii), there exists $F' \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ with $\omega_j^*(F') > 0$ and $|p(F') \cap N_{\hat{u}} \cap N_{\hat{v}} \cap S_j \cap A_j| \geq 2$. Let y_1, y_2 be two distinct vertices in $p(F') \cap N_{\hat{u}} \cap N_{\hat{v}} \cap S_j \cap A_j$. Let $F_1^*, F_2^* \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ be the copies of F_s^* with $c(F_1^*) = c(F_2^*) = X$ and $p(F_1^*) = Y \cup \{y_1\}$ and $p(F_2^*) = Y \cup \{y_2\}$. Note that since $V(F') \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ and $w_j^*(F') > 0$, then $w_j^*(F') = w_0^*(F') \geq s^{-k}$, by Proposition 9.3(v).

Our aim is to replace F' with $F_0' \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ such that $c(F') = c(F_0')$ and $\{y_1, y_2\} \setminus p(F_0') \neq \emptyset$, so that we can replace X with F_1^* or F_2^*.

Let $X' = c(F') = \{x_1', \ldots, x_k'\}$ and let $N_i' = N(X' \setminus \{x_i'\})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Because $X' \in E(H)$ and H is strongly $(1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma, \theta)$-dense, it follows that $|N_i'| \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + \gamma)(n-k+1) \geq (1/2 + 1/(2s) + 2\gamma/3)n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $y_1 \in N_1' \cap N_2'$. Observe that for all $y \in N_1' \cap N_2'$, there exists $F_y^* \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ with core vertices $c(F_y^*) = c(F')$ and pendant vertices $p(F_y^*) = (p(F') \setminus \{y_1\}) \cup \{y\}$ with the extra property that $\alpha_{F_y^*}(x_i') = \alpha_{F'}(x_i')$ for all $x_i' \in X'$.

Case 2.2.1: $|N_1' \cap N_2'| \geq (\ell/s + 2\gamma/3)n$.

We will find $F_0' \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ obtained from F' by replacing y_1 with another vertex, as follows.

By using Proposition 9.2(ii) and the fact that $|A_j| \geq (1-\gamma/6)n$ it follows that $|(N_1' \cap N_2' \cap A_j) \setminus S_j| \geq \gamma n/2$. Fix $y_0' \in (N_1' \cap N_2' \cap A_j) \setminus (S_j \cup X \cup Y)$ such that either $y_0' \in U_j$ or there exists $J_{y_0'} \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H)$ and $J_{y_0'} \notin \{J_y : y \in Y_2\}$ with $\alpha_{J_{y_0'}}(y_0') > 0$.

Let $F_0' \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ be such that $c(F_0') = c(F')$, $p(F_0') = (p(F') \setminus \{y_1\}) \cup \{y_0'\}$ and $\alpha_{F_0'}(x') = \alpha_{F'}(x')$ for all $x' \in X'$. If $\omega_j^*(y_0) = 1$, define $Y_2' = Y_2 \cup \{y_0'\}$, else $Y_2' = Y_2$.

Now, let ω^*_{j+1} be such that

$$\partial(J) = \begin{cases} s^{-k} & \text{if } J \in \{F_1^*, F_0'\}, \\ -s^{-k} & \text{if } J \in \{X, F'\}, \\ -s^{-k}/\alpha_{J_y}(y) & \text{if } J = J_y \text{ for some } y \in Y_2', \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ω^*_{j+1} is a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling such that $|A_{j+1}| \geq |A_j| - (2k^2+2k+1)$ and $(\omega^*_{\min})_{j+1} \geq c$. Furthermore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(\omega_j^*) - \phi^*(\omega_{j+1}^*) &\geq \frac{s}{n}\left(\partial(F_1^*) + \partial(F_0') + \partial(F') + \frac{3}{5}\partial(X) + \sum_{y\in Y_2'}\partial(J_y)\right) \\ &= \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(1 + 1 - 1 - \frac{3}{5} - \sum_{y\in Y_2'}\frac{1}{\alpha_{J_y}(y)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(\frac{2}{5} - \frac{\ell}{m_s}\right) \overset{(9.4)}{\geq} \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(\frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \geq \frac{\nu_1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

thus we are done in this case.

Case 2.2.2: $|N_1' \cap N_2'| < (\ell/s + 2\gamma/3)n$

In this case, we do the opposite of Case 2.2.1, that is, we will find $F'' \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H)$ obtained from F' by replacing all but y_1 of its pendant vertices, so we can replace x with y_2. We do so by first considering $c(F')$ as an edge in H and then choosing pendant vertices according to some suitable 'embedding' of $V(G_s)$ into $c(F')$.

Define $G_{X'}$ as a graph in $\{N_1', \dots, N_k'\}$ where $N_i'N_j' \in E(G_{X'})$ if and only if $|N_i' \cap N_j'| < (\ell/s + 2\gamma/3)n$. Hence, $N_1'N_2' \in E(G_{X'})$. By Lemma 9.7, $G_{X'}$ is bipartite. Take G_s as defined in Corollary 4.4. Fix a bijection $\psi' : V(G_s) \to V(G_{X'})$ such that $\{\psi'(u)\psi'(v) : uv \in E(G_s)\} \cap E(G_{X'}) \subseteq \{N_1'N_2'\}$, which is possible since G_s is a disjoint union of paths and $G_{X'}$ is bipartite. Let $W = \{\psi'(u)\psi'(v) : uv \in E(G_s)\} \setminus E(G_{X'})$. For all $N_u'N_v' \in W$ it follows that $|(N_u' \cap N_v' \cap A_j) \setminus S_j| \geq \gamma n/2$. We can choose y'_{uv} in $(N_u' \cap N_v' \cap A_j) \setminus (S_j \cup X \cup Y)$ for all $N_u'N_v' \in W$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $N_u'N_v' \in W$, either $\omega_j^*(y'_{uv}) = 0$ or there exists $J_{y'_{uv}} \in \mathcal{F}_s^*(H) \cup E(H)$ with $\omega_j^*(J_{y'_{uv}}) \geq s^{-k}$ and $\alpha_{J_{y'_{uv}}}(y'_{uv}) \geq m_s$ such that the $J_{y'_{uv}}$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint and $J_{y'_{uv}} \notin \{J_y : y \in Y_2\}$. If $y = y'_{uv}$ for some $N_u'N_v' \in W$, we write $J_y = J_{y'_{uv}}$.

If $N_1'N_2' \notin W$, also define $y'_{12} = y_1$. Let $Y' = \{y'_{uv} : N_u'N_v' \in \psi'(E(G_s))\}$. In this way, $X' \cup Y'$ spans a copy F'' of F_s^* with $c(F'') = X'$ and $p(F'') = Y'$, possibly with $\alpha_{F''}(x_i') \neq \alpha_{F'}(x_i')$ for some $x_i' \in X'$. Let $Y'' = \{y'_{uv} : N_u'N_v' \in \psi'(E(G_s)) \setminus \{E(G_{X'})\}\}$ and let $Y_1'' \subseteq Y''$ be the set of y such that $\omega_j^*(y) = 0$. Let $Y_2'' = Y'' \setminus Y_1''$.

Let ω^*_{j+1} be such that

$$\partial(J) = \begin{cases} -s^{-k}/\alpha_{J_y}(y) & \text{if } J = J_y \text{ for some } y \in Y_2 \cup Y_2'', \\ -s^{-k} & \text{if } J \in \{X, F^*\}, \\ s^{-k}m_s/M_s & \text{if } J = F'', \\ s^{-k} & \text{if } J = F_2^*, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ω^*_{j+1} is a weighted fractional $\{F_s^*, E_s^*\}$-tiling such that $|A_{j+1}| \geq |A_j| - 5k^2$ and $(\omega^*_{\min})_{j+1} \geq \min\{(\omega^*_{\min})_j, s^{-k}m_s/M_s\} \geq c$.

Recall the bounds $M_s \geq m_s \geq (s-\ell)/k - 1$ and $\ell \leq k-1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_s}{M_s} - \frac{3}{5} - \frac{2\ell}{m_s} &\geq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{M_s} - \frac{2\ell}{m_s} \geq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1+2\ell}{m_s} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{k(1+2\ell)}{s-\ell-k} \geq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{2k^2-k}{5k^2-2k+1} \geq \frac{1}{25k}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, note that $|Y_2 \cup Y_2''| \le 2\ell$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\omega_j^*) - \phi(\omega_{j+1}^*) &\ge \frac{s}{n}\left(\partial(F_2^*) + \partial(F') + \partial(F^*) + \frac{3}{5}\partial(X) + \sum_{y\in Y_2\cup Y_2''}\partial(J_y)\right)\\ &= \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(1 + \frac{m_s}{M_s} - 1 - \frac{3}{5} - \sum_{y\in Y_2\cup Y_2''}\frac{1}{\alpha_{J_y}(y)}\right)\\ &\ge \frac{s^{1-k}}{n}\left(\frac{m_s}{M_s} - \frac{3}{5} - \frac{2\ell}{m_s}\right) \ge \frac{s^{1-k}}{25kn} \ge \frac{\nu_1}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves Claim 9.8, and therefore, concludes the proof of Lemma 9.6. ■

10. Remarks

Let $s \ge k \ge 3$ and $d = \gcd(k, s)$. Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 imply that $c_{k-1}(n, C_s) = (1/2 + o(1))n$ for all admissible pairs (k, s) with $s \ge 2k^2$. A natural open question is to determine $c_{k-1}(n, C_s)$ for the non admissible pairs (k, s). The smallest cases for which we do not know any non-trivial bounds are when $k = 6$ and $s \in \{8, 10, 14, 16, 20, 22, \dots\}$. We do not even know if $c_5(n, C_8^6) = \Theta(n)$.

Question. *What are the values of $c_5(n, C_8^6)$, $c_5(n, C_{10}^6)$, $c_5(n, C_{14}^6)$, ...? In particular, is $c_5(n, C_8^6) = \Theta(n)$?*

Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 also show that $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s) = (1/2 + o(1))n$ for k even, $s \ge 2k^2$ and (k, s) is an admissible pair. On the other hand, if $s \equiv 0 \bmod k$ then $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s) = o(n)$, which follows easily from Theorem 1.1. We would like to know the asymptotic value of $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s)$ in the cases not covered by our constructions.

Conjecture 10.1. $\mathrm{ex}_2(n, C_s^3) = (1/3 + o(1))n$ *for* $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod 3$.

For the lower bound, consider disjoint vertex sets V_1, V_2 and V_3 each of size $n/3$. Let H be the 3-graph on $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ with $E(H) = \{xyz : x \in V_i; y, z \in V_{i+1}\}$. However we do not know any non-trivial extremal example for $\mathrm{ex}_{k-1}(n, C_s)$ for $k \ge 5$ odd and $s \not\equiv 0 \bmod k$ with $s \ge k$.

Let (k, s) be an admissible pair such that $s \ge 5k^2$. If k is even, then Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 1.5 imply that $t_{k-1}(n, C_s) = (1/2 + 1/(2s) + o(1))n$. We conjecture that for k odd, the bound given by Proposition 1.5 is asymptotically tight.

Conjecture 10.2. *Let (k, s) be an admissible pair such that $k \ge 3$ is odd and $s \ge 5k^2$. Then $t_{k-1}(n, C_s) = (1/2 + k/(4s(k-1) + 2k) + o(1))n$.*

Note that, for k odd, the extremal example given by Proposition 1.5 is an example of the so-called space barrier construction. However, it is different from the common construction which is obtained by attaching a new vertex set W to an F-free graph and adding all possible edges incident with W. On the other hand, for k even, it is indeed the common construction of a space barrier.

It also would be interesting to find bounds on the Turán, covering and tiling thresholds that hold whenever $k \le s \le 5k^2$. The known thresholds for these kind of graphs do not necessarily follow the pattern of the bounds we have found for longer cycles. For example, note that C_{k+1}^k is a complete k-graph on $k + 1$ vertices, which suggests that for lower values of s the problem behaves in a different way. Concretely, when $(k, s) = (3, 4)$, it is known that $t_2(n, C_4^3) = (3/4 + o(1))n$ [17, 23].

Question. *Given $k \ge 3$, what is the minimum s such that $t_{k-1}(n, C_s) \le (1/2 + 1/(2s) + o(1))n$ holds?*

Acknowledgements

We thank Richard Mycroft and Guillem Perarnau for their valuable comments and insightful discussions.

References

[1] P. Allen, J. Böttcher, O. Cooley, and R. Mycroft, *Tight cycles and regular slices in dense hypergraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (in press) (2016).

[2] N. Alon and R. Yuster, *H-factors in dense graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **66** (1996), no. 2, 269–282.

[3] O. Cooley, N. Fountoulakis, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus, *Embeddings and Ramsey numbers of sparse k-uniform hypergraphs*, Combinatorica **29** (2009), no. 3, 263–297.

[4] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal, *On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph*, Acta Math. Hungar. **14** (1963), no. 3–4, 423–439.

[5] A. Czygrinow, *Tight co-degree condition for packing of loose cycles in 3-graphs*, J. Graph Theory **83** (2016), no. 4, 317–333.

[6] G. A. Dirac, *Some theorems on abstract graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3** (1952), no. 1, 69–81.

[7] P. Erdős, *On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), no. 3, 183–190.

[8] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, *A limit theorem in graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **1** (1966), 51–57.

[9] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone, *On the structure of linear graphs*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 1087–1091.

[10] V. Falgas-Ravry and Y. Zhao, *Codegree thresholds for covering 3-uniform hypergraphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.01144 (2015).

[11] W. Gao, J. Han, and Y. Zhao, *Codegree conditions for tiling complete k-partite k-graphs and loose cycles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.07247 (2016).

[12] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős*, Combinatorial theory and its applications, II (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969), 1970, pp. 601–623.

[13] J. Han, C. Zang, and Y. Zhao, *Minimum vertex degree thresholds for tiling complete 3-partite 3-graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.08730 (2015).

[14] P. E. Haxell, T. Łuczak, Y. Peng, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and J. Skokan, *The Ramsey number for 3-uniform tight hypergraph cycles*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **18** (2009), no. 1-2, 165–203.

[15] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński, *Random graphs*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.

[16] P. Keevash, *Hypergraph Turán problems*, Surveys in combinatorics 2011, 2011, pp. 83–139.

[17] P. Keevash and R. Mycroft, *A geometric theory for hypergraph matching*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **233** (2015), no. 1098, vi+95.

[18] T. Kővári, V. Sós, and P. Turán, *On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz*, Colloq. Math. **3** (1954), no. 1, 50–57.

[19] J. Komlós, *Tiling Turán theorems*, Combinatorica **20** (2000), no. 2, 203–218.

[20] J. Komlós, G. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, *Proof of the Alon–Yuster conjecture*, Discrete Math. **235** (2001), no. 1, 255–269.

[21] D. Kühn, R. Mycroft, and D. Osthus, *Hamilton ℓ-cycles in uniform hypergraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **117** (2010), no. 7, 910–927.

[22] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Matchings in hypergraphs of large minimum degree*, J. Graph Theory **51** (2006), no. 4, 269–280.

[23] A. Lo and K. Markström, *F-factors in hypergraphs via absorption*, Graphs Combin. **31** (2015), no. 3, 679–712.

[24] W. Mantel, *Problem 28*, Wiskundige Opgaven **10** (1907), no. 320, 60–61.

[25] R. Mycroft, *Packing k-partite k-uniform hypergraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **138** (2016), 60–132.

[26] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi, *Perfect matchings in large uniform hypergraphs with large minimum collective degree*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **116** (2009), no. 3, 613–636.

[27] P. Turán, *On an extremal problem in graph theory*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **48** (1941), no. 137, 436–452.

[28] P. Turán, *Research problem*, Közl MTA Mat. Kutató Int. **6** (1961), 417–423.

[29] Y. Zhao, *Recent advances on Dirac-type problems for hypergraphs*, Recent trends in combinatorics, 2016, pp. 145–165.